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PREFACE

The world needs to reduce its emissions of greenhouse gases in order to address the issues of climate change. To guide policies and strategies, it is critically important to have an accurate idea of GHG emissions and be able to track them over time.

Despite the complexities of calculating these emissions, much progress has been made in recent years with methods based on emissions factors for different activities. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change offers emissions factors for various activities, and many countries have developed their country-specific methodologies, databases and emissions inventories.

But in many cases the IPCC's default emission factors may not reflect the geographical and social conditions in the Asian region. Many Asian non-Annex I Parties under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) have submitted national reports on their GHG emissions to UNFCCC, and in the process they have acquired a certain degree of ability to estimate and make inventories of these emissions. But the extent of experience with GHG inventories varies widely in Asia. Meanwhile, over the years, a network of experts working on these issues has been growing in the Asia region.

In this context, this Workshop on GHG Inventories in the Asia Region was held in Phuket, Thailand, on 13 and 14 November 2003, with the expectation that everyone would benefit by sharing information and experience in this field. It was a valuable opportunity for specialists and governmental experts to get together to discuss this important topic. We hope that the momentum gained by this workshop will help to improve the quality of GHG inventories in the region.

Dr. Shuzo Nishioka
Executive Director
National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES) Japan

Mr. Katsuhiko Naito
Senior Policy Coordinator
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Executive Summary
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Workshop of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Inventories in the Asia Region, organized by the Japan Ministry of the Environment and the National Institute for Environmental Studies (Japan) and hosted by the Joint Graduate School on Energy and Environment (Thailand), was attended by governmental officials and scientists from 11 countries and representatives of two international organizations.

A speaker from the UNFCCC Secretariat (www.unfccc.int) spoke about recent trends relating to National Communications on GHG emissions by non-Annex I Parties under the UNFCCC. He provided information about new guidelines for the preparation of national communications from non-Annex I parties; improved GHG inventory software to aid reporting; new Good Practice Guidance (GPG) for Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF); expedited financing from the Global Environment Facility for National Communications; the UNDP’s National Communications Support Program (NCSP) for Climate Change; a UNFCCC User Manual for the Guidelines on the Preparation of National Communications from non-Annex I Parties; and trainings starting in 2004 by the Consultative Group of Experts (CGE) on National Communications from Parties Not Included in Annex I to the UNFCCC. A representative of the Technical Support Unit for the IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme (NGGIP) (www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp) described news and ongoing projects and urged countries to actively use and contribute to the IPCC Emission Factors Database (IPCC-EFDB).

Governmental representatives reported on their institutional arrangements relating to GHG inventories. Scientists reported on technical matters in each country. Many non-Annex I countries have submitted their initial National Communications, based on default emission factors from the IPCC using 1994 as the base year; but some have developed their own emission factors. Some countries are now preparing their second National Communications. Participants identified problems and challenges they face relating to needs for (a) capacity building, (b) local or regional emission factors, (c) better activity data to generate accurate emissions inventories, and (d) more funding. They felt that the challenge is for each country to build a critical mass (data, experts, infrastructure, etc.) for GHG inventories, after which greater progress can be made, and that cooperation with other countries could help solve some problems.

In terms of recommendations to the national and international communities, participants identified important topics deserving of support: (1) international and national level trainings and meetings; (2) local/sub-regional database(s) of emission factors and activity data; (3) information exchange networks (regional and international); (4) financial and technical support to reduce uncertainty of GHG inventories (emission factors, activity data and methodologies, etc.); and (5) a more proactive role for the IPCC Emission Factor Database (IPCC-EFDB).
Participants found that the following actions were important for them to implement in the future: (1) orient efforts in a way that will contribute to the international community; (2) continue discussions with each other to consolidate the vision on GHG inventories and to maintain the momentum of this workshop with concrete actions (e.g., create an e-mail discussion list, conduct regular workshops and trainings, improve documentation, release publications, etc.); (3) begin planning the next GHG inventory workshop; and (4) link efforts with concrete initiatives such as those of the CGE mentioned above. Participants discussed the idea of a workshop on GHG inventories next year, possibly in China.
Background paper

For

the Workshop on GHG inventories in Asia Region
1. Overview of the Workshop on GHG Inventories in Asia Region

1-1. Background

The increases of Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions have been recognized as the primary cause of abnormal weather conditions and sea-level rise. The impacts of these climate variables, particularly in Asia, affect not only one country but also cover the whole area of the continent and island countries. Unexpected Monsoon can cause serious damage from the Philippines up to Southeast Asian countries and continue to Japan, Korea and main land China. According to the IPCC third assessment report (TAR), countries in Asia are known to be vulnerable to the threats of climate change and their adverse impacts. To minimize the cause of climate change and mitigate its adverse effect in Asian region, not only basic scientific knowledge but also the common understandings of the country situation as well as collaborative countermeasures need to be strengthened and shared among the countries in the region. To implement the effective countermeasures of climate change, the improvement of GHG inventories is a major priority. The level of GHG inventories development in Asia-Pacific region varies, and some issues regarding the inventories remain unresolved. To develop good GHG inventories, it is essential to hold a forum exchanging experiences and information among countries and between researchers and governmental officials. In addition, to strengthen regional collaboration, the discussion on country/region specific emission factors, accurate activity data, and inventory analysis assessing the countermeasures also need to be taken into account.

1-2. Objectives

The workshop (WGIA) objectives are:

- To share the experiences of the GHG inventory preparation and to promote mutual understanding of the GHG inventory development among Asia-Pacific countries.
- To address some of the key issues, focusing on the methodologies for developing the GHG inventories in Asia region, from the perspective of researchers and governments
- To facilitate the discussions and close interactions between researchers and governmental officials involved in addressing the GHG inventories as a base of strategies to reduce GHG emissions and to enhance GHG removals
- To explore possible solution strategies to improve the GHG inventories in Asia region

1-3. Expected Outcomes

The expected outcomes of the workshop are:

- Improving capacities for the GHG inventory preparation through discussions
- Determining the direction of sustainable systems to develop the GHG inventories with well-organized contributions from researchers and governmental officials
- Formulating mechanisms to utilize the GHG inventories as a basic strategies against the global warming
- Promoting the contributions of Asia-Pacific developing countries in the international efforts to improve the GHG inventories
2. Purposes of each session and details to be included in presentations

2-1. Opening Session

(1) Purpose

“Standing on the same starting point” -- Attempt to find common ground on the significance of the GHG inventories

(2) Details to be included in presentations

It is advisable to include the following subjects in your presentation:

(a) Recent trends in preparing National Communications for non-Annex I countries (Mr. Dominique Revet, UNFCCC)

- “Why do we develop the GHG inventories?” -- Attempt to find common ground on the GHG inventories
- “The level of inventory development in Non-Annex I countries’ National Communications” -- Understand the current status of the GHG inventories in Asia-Pacific region
- “What are the ideal GHG inventories?” -- Seek to develop Asia-Pacific regions’ inventories
- “What kinds of sources of funding available under the UNFCCC?” -- Explore what we can take advantage in developing the inventories

(b) Revision of IPCC guidelines and development of database for emission factors (Mr. Kiyoto Tanabe, IPCC-NGGIP/TSU)

- “On-going projects under the IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme” -- Explore what we can take advantage to develop the GHG inventories in Asia-Pacific region and seek what can be achieved by each country in Asia-Pacific region to contribute to international efforts to develop the GHG inventories.

2-2. Session I and II

(1) Purpose

“To share the experiences of the GHG inventory preparation”

(2) Details to be included in presentations

- “Good Aspects” - Share useful and helpful information on preparing the GHG inventories in each country and utilize it in the process of the inventory preparation for next national communications
- “Aspects of GHG inventory preparation to be addressed” - Raise the issues of the GHG inventory preparation before discussing future direction in Session III
2-3. Session III

(1) Purpose

“To finalize the conclusion of this workshop”

(2) Details to be discussed in Session III

To initiate the discussion in Session III, the summary of the country report in terms of regional situation will be primarily reported to the floor by Dr. Sirintornthep Towprayoon as the rapporteur.

The information contained in this background paper is based largely on publicly available information. The Secretariat for the Workshop of GHG Inventories in Asia Region (WGIA) recognizes that there is additional information to be considered for inclusion in this document to gain more accurate understanding of the actual status in each country. The WGIA Secretariat also recognizes that all the participating countries share common issues to be resolved. Currently, the WGIA assumes that the issues in the inventory development in Asia-Pacific region could be classified into the following 3 categories:

A. Issues in institutional arrangements for inventories preparation
B. Issues in methodologies for inventories preparation
C. Others

A. Issues in institutional arrangements for inventory preparation

a) To build sustainable institutional and technical capacity of local government and researchers
   - Sources of Funding
   - Cooperation between researchers and governmental officials
   - Japan’s case; Researchers began developing the GHG inventories as scientific program. Thereafter, the Ministry of the Environment took over the inventory preparation according to the requirement of the Framework Convention on Climate Change.
   - The COP8 has adopted new guidelines for the preparation of national communications for Non-Annex I Parties. It could be a good opportunity to develop the institutional arrangements on inventory development.

b) Limited opportunities to improve GHG inventories
   - Non-Annex I Parties have submitted elaborate inventories as part of their national communications; however, any official reviews have not been conducted to the inventories of Non-Annex I Parties. Opportunities to improve the inventories were lost.
   - In this up-coming workshop, all the participants can explore various options to improve the inventories of Asia-Pacific region.
B. Issues in methodologies for inventory preparation
   a) Lack of country-specific or regional-specific emission factors
      - Overestimation or underestimation caused by using default emission factors
      - To resolve this issue, existing research (literature search) can be utilized, or the
        country-specific or regional-specific emission factors could be developed. Furthermore,
        sharing any information relevant to this issue in future workshops would be a great
        advantage to all participating countries. Data input to IPCC EFDB would also result in
        international contribution.

   b) Lack of activity data required to estimate GHG emissions
      - To resolve this issue, domestic statistics, international statistics\(^1\), and existing research
        (literature search) can be utilized, and activity data could be developed as a research
        project.

C. Others

This document was prepared by the WGIA Secretariat based largely on publicly available GHGs
inventory-related information. If you have any questions, concerns, or additional information to be
considered for inclusion in this paper, particularly on Session III, please contact us by email.

---

\(^1\) Which will be included in the “Annex I: LIST OF INVENTORY REVIEW RESOURCES RELEVANT FOR
THE CALCULATION OF ADJUSTMENTS” of “TECHNICAL GUIDANCE ON METHODOLOGIES
FOR ADJUSTMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 5, PARAGRAPH 2, OF THE KYOTO PROTOCOL”
Chairman’s Summary
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Attachment II: List of Participants
Chairman's Summary

1. The Workshop of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Inventories in Asia Region was held in Phuket, Thailand, on 13 and 14 November, 2003. It was organized by the Japan Ministry of the Environment and the National Institute for Environmental Studies (Japan) and hosted by Thailand, particularly the Joint Graduate School on Energy and Environment, comprised of five universities, namely, King Mongkut’s University of Thailand, King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology North Bangkok, Chiangmai University, Prince of Songkla University and Sirinthorn Institute of Technology at Thammasart University. The meeting was attended by governmental officials and scientists from 11 countries (Cambodia, China, Indonesia, India, Japan, Korea, Lao PDR, Mongolia, Philippines, Thailand and Vietnam), and the representatives of two international organizations (the UNFCCC Secretariat and the Technical Support Unit of the IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme). The overall workshop was chaired by Dr. Shuzo Nishioka, of the National Institute for Environmental Studies (Japan).

Opening Session

2. The opening session was chaired by Dr. Damasa Macandog. Dr. Nishioka welcomed participants to the workshop and described activities in Japan and the Asia region relating to GHG inventories and capacity building. He expressed his hope that the workshop would help to improve GHG inventories in the region and contribute to climate policy of the world. Dr. Sirintornthep Towprayoon, on behalf of the host country and five universities, welcomed participants to Thailand and expressed her hope for a fruitful workshop. Dr. Hideaki Nakane described the objectives and expectations for the meeting.

3. Mr. Dominique Revet, of the UNFCCC Secretariat, spoke about recent trends relating to National Communications by non-Annex I Parties under the UNFCCC. Mr. Revet mentioned five relatively new items. First, he provided details on Decision 17/CP.8, which contains new guidelines for the preparation of national communications from non-Annex I parties. Second, the UNFCCC will modify IPCC's GHG inventory software to aid reporting (including Table 1 and 2 of the new UNFCCC Guidelines). Third, the IPCC had just recently adopted/accepted Good Practice Guidance (GPG) for Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF). Fourth, he recommended that Parties use the IPCC Emission Factors database (IPCC-EFDB). And fifth, he reported that the Global Environment Facility (GEF) Operational Procedures for the Expedited Financing of National Communications from Non-Annex I Parties would be launched at COP9 (this document is available on-line).
4. Regarding future developments, Mr. Revet made a few points. He said that preparations were being made to accept requests for funding for second National Communications by non-Annex I Parties. He said that the UNDP’s National Communications Support Program (NCSP) could, if the project proposal is approved by the GEF Council, manage a US$60 million budget aimed at providing financial assistance for up to 130 non-Annex I Parties over 6 years. The UNFCCC has produced a User Manual for the Guidelines on the Preparation of National Communications from non-Annex I Parties. And the Consultative Group of Experts (CGE) on National Communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the UNFCCC will start hands-on training in 2004.

5. Mr. Kiyoto Tanabe, of the Technical Support Unit of the IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme (IPCC-NGGIP/TSU), spoke about news and ongoing projects under the IPCC-NGGIP. In the interest of continuous improvement, experts are invited to propose new emission factors, and after evaluation by an Editorial Board, these may be entered into the database. This is one valuable way to increase the availability and use of emission factors relevant in the Asian region. LULUCF is a particularly important aspect of the GHG inventories in Asia, and the IPCC has just recently adopted/accepted a new Good Practice Guidance report on this topic. He also introduced another project to revise the Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines with a view to completion in early 2006. He stressed that the contributions from experts in this region are significant for this project. More information is available on these topics at http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp.

Session I: Governmental Reports on National Systems for Gathering Information on Inventories

6. Session I was chaired by Mr. Dominique Revet of the UNFCCC Secretariat. During this session, the main focus was on institutional arrangements for GHG inventories.

7. To begin with, two speakers from Japan shared their country's experiences with GHG inventories and National Communications. Yoshiteru Sakaguchi of the Ministry of the Environment spoke about Japan's "learning curve," starting with research into CO₂ emissions during the 1980s, the submission of the first National Communication to the UNFCCC in 1994, the benefits of in-country reviews done by other Parties. Now Japan National GHG Inventories are prepared annually, on a routine cycle. In terms of institutional arrangements, he also described the coordinating role played by his ministry, and the creation of various committees and the Greenhouse Gas Inventory Office (GIO). Tomoyuki Aizawa, of the GIO in the National Institute for Environmental Studies, described the progress Japan has made in the development of methodologies and reporting over the years, and the annual cycle and data management system used today in GHG
inventory preparation. During discussion, it was observed that Parties can learn much from each other by sharing experiences relating to GHG inventories.

8. Next, four countries made presentations on institutional arrangements for preparing national GHG inventories. Many valuable points were raised. Dr. Asdaporn Krairapanond of Thailand described her country's experience in developing a GHG inventory, and a positive experience in sharing emission factors with Malaysia; and called for more networking in Asia to develop emission factors in every sector. Mr. Heng Chan Thoeun of Cambodia described the progress and challenges in his country and announced the recent creation of a Climate Change Office. Dr. Damdin Dagvadorj of Mongolia mentioned his country's National GHG Inventory Team and preparations now under way for the second National Communication. Ms. Raquel Ferraz Villanueva of the Philippines mentioned Philippine Inter-Agency Committee on Climate Change (IACCC) and the Technical Working Group on GHG Inventory, and both the successes and challenges faced in her country.

Session II: Expert Reports on Technical Issues Relating to Preparation of Inventories

9. Session II was chaired by Dr. Asdaporn Krairapanond of Thailand and Dr. Gao Qingxian of China. During this session, the main focus was on technical issues relating to GHG inventories. Ten countries made presentations.

10. Dr. Gao Qingxian of China introduced his country's National Coordination Committee on Climate Change, introduced a GEF/UNDP Project-Enabling China to Prepare Its Initial National Communication, and work being conducted to prepare the GHG inventory of GHG emissions from the municipal waste sector. Mr. Sum Thy of Cambodia described in detail his country's creation of the first national GHG inventory, using 1994 as the base year, in particular the detailed methodology used for LULUCF emissions, and the result that the more detailed inventory resulted in lower GHG emissions that reported earlier in the country's initial national communication. Dr. Amit Garg of India described the extensive network of institutions involved in his country's national communication, and mentioned the benefit of trying to use the respective strengths of each institution and ministry within a country. Dr. Rizaldi Boer of Indonesia illustrated the steady improvement in emission estimates over time (from U.S. country studies, to ALGAS, and then the national communication), with specific examples from forestry and agriculture, and mentioned a proposal (pending) to UNDP-GEF on regional activities to improve GHG inventories. Dr. Seungdo Kim of Korea gave a detailed explanation of his methodology relating to CH\textsubscript{4} emissions from landfills, and emphasized the value of developing local, more accurate emission factors. Mr. Syamphone Sengchandala of the Lao Republic described his country's experience with its first national GHG inventory, completed in 2000, and mentioned factors affecting achievement of results as lack of local expertise, reliable data,
country-specific/regional-specific emissions factors, and activity data. Finally, Dr. Damasa Macandog of the Philippines shared her country's experience in institutionalization of GHG inventory preparation, by giving a detailed explanation, sector by sector (agriculture, energy, etc.) of what data was obtained from whom, and how the coordination was conducted. Dr. Batima Punsalmaa of Mongolia reported on Mongolia's GHG inventory and emission factors. Mr. Hoang Manh Hoa of Vietnam gave a detailed presentation on the results of his country's national GHG inventory (1994 base year) and emission projections. And Dr. Sirintornthep Towprayoon of Thailand gave a presentation on the results of work in her country on emission factors in agriculture and waste sectors.

Session III
Discussion and Wrap-Up

11. Session III was chaired by Dr. Shuzo Nishioka. To begin, Dr. Towprayoon presented her report of discussions as rapporteur.

12. General Issues: During discussions, participants raised a number of general issues about GHG inventories, including institutional matters, gases covered in inventories, methodology, inventory year, and emission factors.

(a) Institutional organization for coordination, preparation and maintenance of GHG inventories:
- There are often many governmental institutions involved in various aspects of inventories, and some countries do not have an institutional system in place that can facilitate the maintenance of these inventories.
- Some countries have solved this problem by creating a body responsible for coordinating work on inventories, such as an inventory team or national committee.
- Other approaches include (i) contracting inventory work out to experts or academics, (ii), assigning the tasks to a relevant government department, and (iii) promoting multi-institutional involvement in inventories.

(b) Gases covered in inventories
- CO₂, CH₄, N₂O are generally covered in inventories of the countries participating in this workshop.
- NOₓ, CO, NMVOC, SO₂ are not covered in some countries.
- HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ (F-gases) are also not covered in some countries.

(c) Methodology
- Most countries in the workshop are using the Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines.
- The IPCC's Good Practice Guidance is used fully by Japan, and partially by some countries, to the extent their capacities permits, such as China, India, and the Philippines.

(d) Inventory year
- Except for Japan (which used 1990 because it is an Annex I party), Thailand (which
used 1990, 1994 and 2000) and Lao PDR (1990), at present the inventory base year of most countries is 1994.

(e) Emission factors

- Most countries are using IPCC default values, but some are using country-specific or local values based on expert judgement.

13. Problems and challenges: Participants also raised a number of issues relating to (a) capacity building, (b) emission factors, (c) activity data, and (d) funding.

(a) Capacity Building

- Inventory work in some countries suffers from frequent changes of experts, leading to problems with continuity.
- Some participants feel that there is insufficient internal (domestic) cooperation between ministries, etc.
- A shortage of experience, experts, and local expertise is a problem in some countries.
- Some countries face problems with limited number of staff and research capacity and feel that these problems are related to limited funding.
- The lack of a concrete policy framework to support inventories is a problem in some countries.
- The view was expressed that the challenge is for each country to build critical mass of data, experts, etc., for GHG inventories, and after that critical mass is achieved, better progress can be made.
- An insufficiency of public awareness about climate change is seen as a hindrance to building national support for GHG inventories.
- The view was expressed that enhancing international cooperation could help solve problems relating to capacity.

(b) Emission factors

- Most participants feel that more country-specific emission factors are needed
- Some participants feel that their countries lack sufficient quality analysis and quality control (QA/QC) capabilities, compared to the standards of the IPCC's Good Practice Guidelines. They also mentioned that it is important to note the distinction between internal and external QA/QC (i.e., managed within the country, versus reviews by out-of-country reviewers). Also, they feel the need to improve uncertainty analysis.
- Inadequacies in information systems and databases are seen as one cause of the insufficiency of emission factors.
- Improvements are needed in the level of key source analysis.

(c) Activity data

- Some participants experience problems with verification of activity data.
- Improvements are needed in data management of activity data.
- Access to data is sometimes a problem.
(d) Funding
- Participants feel that insufficient funding for in-country research and training is hindering progress with GHG inventories.
- Some feel that more funding from international sources would be important for in order to make greater progress with inventories.

14. Recommendations: Participants concluded that the following items are important topics deserving of support from national and international communities:
(a) International and national level trainings and meetings.
(b) Local/sub-regional database(s) of EF and activity data.
(c) Information exchange networks (regional and international).
(d) Financial and technical support to reduce uncertainty of GHG inventories (emission factors, activity data and methodologies, etc.).
(e) A more proactive role for IPCC Emission Factor Database (IPCC-EFDB).

15. To move forward from the discussions of this workshop, participants agreed that the following items were important for them to implement:
(a) Orient efforts in a way that will contribute to the international community.
(b) Continue discussions to consolidate the vision on GHG inventories and to keep the momentum of this workshop, with concrete actions (e.g., create an e-mail discussion list, etc.).
(c) Begin planning the next GHG inventory workshop.
(d) Consolidate progress through concrete activities. Possible examples include:
   - regular workshops and trainings,
   - documentation, and
   - publications.
(e) Link efforts with concrete initiatives such as the Consultative Group of Experts (training workshops are planned).

16. Participants shared the following ideas for a future workshop on GHG inventories:
(a) Possible venues—China offered the possibility of hosting a workshop, which was positively received.
(b) Contents of workshop—Possible topics include
   - Items included above in the “Problems and challenges”
   - Sharing experiences, solving problems, exchanging lessons learned on the use of GPG, for example uncertainty analysis, processes for quality control, quality assurance
   - 2nd National Communications under the UNFCCC. One possibility is to focus on what has been achieved with 1st NCs, and discuss how integrate lessons into 2nd NCs.
17. The participants thanked Japan for organizing the workshop, and expressed special appreciation to the host organizations in Thailand for the warm hospitality and wonderful venue.
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Day 1, Thursday, 13 November 2003

9:30~10:00 Registration

10:00~12:00 Opening Session (Chair: Dr. Damasa Macandog) (120 min.)
- Welcome address (3 min.) (Dr. Shuzo Nishioka)
- Welcome speech from host country (5 min.) (Dr. Sirintornthep Towprayoon)
- Overview of workshop and explanation of schedule (10 min.) (Dr. Hideaki Nakane)
- Introduction of participants (10 min.) (each participant)
- Presentation on recent trends in preparing National Communications for non-Annex I countries (Mr. Dominique Revet, UNFCCC) (30 min. + Q&A 10 min.)
- Presentation on revision of IPCC guidelines and development of database for emission factors (Mr. Kiyoto Tanabe, IPCC-NGGIP/TSU) (30 min. + Q&A 10 min.)

12:00~13:30 Lunch

13:30~15:50 Session I (Chair: Mr. Dominique Revet) (140 min.):
Reports by participating officials on the development of national system for gathering information regarding the inventories
- Presentation on recent problems and efforts on preparing inventory in Japan (Mr. Yoshiteru Sakaguchi and Mr. Tomoyuki Aizawa) (25 min. + Q&A 5 min.)
- Presentation on establishment of national system on preparing inventories (13 min. + Q&A 2 min. for each presentation)
  - National system in Thailand (Dr. Asdaporn Krairapanond)
  - National system in Cambodia (Mr. Heng Chan Thoeum)
  - National system in the Indonesia (Mr. Gunardi)
  - National system in Korea (Mr. Seung-Hwan Oh)
  - National system in the Mongolia (Dr. Damdin Dagvadorj)
  - National system in the Philippines (Ms. Raquel Ferraz Villanueva)
- Overall Q&A for session I (20 min.)

15:50~16:05 Tea Break
16:05~18:15 Session II (Chair: Dr. Asdaporn Krairapanond) (130 min.):

Reports by participating experts on technical issues relating to the preparation of inventories (130 min.)
- Presentation on methods applied for the preparation of inventories including methods for collection of activity data and calculation of emission factors
  - Thailand (Dr. Sirintornthep Towprayoon) (12 min. + Q&A 3 min.)
  - China (Dr. Gao Qingxian) (15 min. + Q&A 5 min.)
  - Cambodia (Mr. Sum Thy) (12 min. + Q&A 3 min.)
  - India (Dr. Amit Garg) (12 min. + Q&A 3 min.)
  - Indonesia (Dr. Rizaldi Boer) (12 min. + Q&A 3 min.)
  - Korea (Dr. Seungdo Kim) (12 min. + Q&A 3 min.)
  - Lao Republic (Mr. Syamphone Sengchandala) (12 min. + Q&A 3 min.)
- Overall Q&A for session II (20 min.)

Day 2, Friday, 14 November 2003

9:30~10:35 Session II (continued) (Chair: Dr. Gao Qingxian) (65 min.)
- Mongolia (Dr. Batima Punsalmaa) (12 min. + Q&A 3 min.)
- Philippines (Dr. Damasa Macandog) (12 min. + Q&A 3 min.)
- Vietnam (Mr. Hoang Manh Hoa) (12 min. + Q&A 3 min.)
- Overall Q&A for session II (20 min.)

10:35~10:55 Tea Break

10:55~12:40 Session III: Discussions (Chair: Dr. Shuzo Nishioka ) (105 min.)
- Report on Session I and II from Rapporteur (Dr. Sirintornthep Towprayoon)
  -Overview on APN-CAPaBLE project (Dr. Hideaki Nakane)
- Discussion on expanding possibilities for improvements in preparing inventories
  The output shall be reflected in the revision of IPCC guidelines in 2006 and the development of database for emission factors.
- Introduction on APN-CAPaBLE project and suggestions on this project

12:40~14:10 Lunch (during which preparations will be made for Session III)

14:10~15:00 Session III: Wrap-up

15:00~15:20 Closing Session
- Closing Remarks (Dr. Shuzo Nishioka)
- Closing Remarks (Dr. Asdaporn Kairapanond)
- Closing Remarks (Mr. Katsuhiko Naito)
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Overview of workshop and explanation of schedule
Dr. Hideaki Nakane

Participants
25 participants from 11 countries and 2 international organizations
UNFCCC; Mr. Revet, IPCC-NGGIP/TSU; Mr. Tanabe, are attending.

Expected outcomes and process in the workshop

• To exchange information and experiences on the GHG inventories including the recent trends and development in UNFCCC and IPCC, (Opening sessions, Session I and II)
• To discuss and finalize the conclusion on issues raised in the presentations such as;
  (1) institutional arrangements for inventories preparation,
  (2) methodologies for inventories preparation,
  (3) others including future direction and cooperation, (Session III)
• To prepare the summary and report, (Session III – Wrap-up)
• To clarify the future direction (and action items)
Overview of workshop and explanation of schedule
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Details of the Sessions, Chairs, Rapporteur

• Opening Session (Chair; D. Macandog)
  D. Revet (UNFCCC)
  K. Tanabe (IPCC-NGGIP/TSU)

• Session I (Chair; D. Revet)
  Reports by participating officials on the national system

• Session II (Chairs; A. Krairapanond, G. Quingxian)
  Reports by participating experts on technical issues

• Session III Discussions (Chair; S. Nishioka)
  Rapporteur (S. Towprayhoon)
  Overview on APN-CAPaBLE project (H. Nakane)

Role of Chairs

• To introduce yourself,

• To remind what is the subject of the sessions at the beginning of the session,

• Time keeping; please keep 2 minutes for Q&A with cooperation with the time-keeper (Mr. Sakaguchi) and ask participants to be punctual

• Very brief closing address may be helpful.
Summary and Report

Wrap-up Session;
Will show Chairman’s Summary on screen and discuss

Final printed report:
• Agenda
• Preface
• Contents
• Chairman’s Summary
• Rapporteur’s Report
• Presentations (powerpoint outputs)
• Background Paper (suggestions for revision are welcome)
• Participants list

Others?

Thank you!!
Recent trends in preparing NAI national communications

- Why develop GHG inventories?
- What’s new?
- GHG inventories of the Asia Region
- What’s next?

(1) Why develop GHG inventories?

- Para. 6 of Decision 17CP.8
  Each non-Annex I Party shall, in accordance with Article 4, paragraph 1 (a), and Article 12, paragraph 1(a) of the Convention, communicate to the Conference of the Parties a national inventory of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of all greenhouse gases (GHGs) not controlled by the Montreal Protocol, to the extent its capacities permit, following the provisions in these guidelines.

- Linkage with the mitigation analysis
(2) What’s new?

- Guidelines for the preparation of national communications from Parties not included in Annex I to the Convention (Decision 17CP.8)
- **1st half of 2004**: UNFCCC will modify the IPCC GHG inventory software to produce “table 1 and 2”
- **Last minute**: GPG for LULUCF adopted by the IPCC
- IPCC Emission Factors database (EFDB)
- **GEF Operational Procedures** for the Expedited Financing of National Communications from Non-Annex I Parties

(i) Guidelines for the preparation of national communications (17CP.8)

- **Para. 6: Introduction**
  National GHG inventory is a **key element** of the national communication.
  - should include information on how you **organized** and **approached** your inventory work.
  - you may want to follow the IPCC diagram containing **various stages** of inventory work.
  - Be sure to describe the stages of the inventory from which the new work was started.
Para. 7: Inventory years

- Second NC, inventory year to be reported is **2000**.
- LDC can choose any year at their discretion.
- Would be preferable if Parties could report for any of the years from 1994 up to, and including 2000, if data is available.
- **Second GHG inventory**, it is advisable to revise the data provided for the first inventory (revision may facilitate the understanding of possible changes to the first inventory).
- Parties wishing to report for years other than for 1990 or 1994 and 2000, are welcome to do so. This applies also to Parties that are preparing their first or the third NC.

A. Methodologies

Para. 8: Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories

- Parties should only use the latest version (i.e. Revised 1996) of the “IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories” (3 volumes, [http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/invs1.htm](http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/invs1.htm)).
- The use of IPCC Guidelines is enhanced by the inventory software.
- These Guidelines are complemented by the IPCC GPG.
- The GPG on LULUCF was recently adopted by the IPCC and will be distributed to Parties at COP 9.
Recent trends in preparing National Communications for non-Annex I countries
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Para. 9: Tiers 1 and 2 or 3 methodologies

- The higher the number designating the tier, the more detailed is the methodology and the more accurate are the emission estimates.
- **Tier 1** represents the minimum, or default, methodology. If sufficient data is available, a Party can also try to apply a higher tier.
- **Tiers 2 or 3** involve more elaborate methods which could be either source category-specific or technology-based. These methods require more detailed data and/or measurements for their application.
- In the case where a national methodology exists, and is consistent with the IPCC Guidelines, it is highly advisable to use the national methodology. The national methodology used should be fully documented in order to allow the reader to understand why this particular method is better than the default one proposed by the IPCC.

Para. 10: Default emission factors and activity data

- The default IPCC methodology may not be appropriate for all countries. It is therefore important to use country-specific or regional emission factors and activity data, if available, in order to reduce the uncertainty while estimating the emissions and removals.
- It might be useful to start thinking about the potential synergies among the countries of the region and elaborate plans to develop such crucial information, bearing in mind the need to better reflect the national circumstances in terms of emissions and removals.
- The formulation of cost-effective national or regional programmes aiming at the development or improvement of country-specific or regional emission factors and activity data can be a good way of dealing with the problem of the inappropriateness of emission factors and activity data.
- It is also important to note that in the future some country-specific and regional emission factors may become available on the Emission Factor database, which is being developed by the IPCC (http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/EFDB/main.php).
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Para. 11: IPCC Good Practice Guidance (GPG)

- GPG provides useful guidance for selecting methods (tiered approaches), emission factors and activity data.
- It helps in selecting appropriate methods and emission factors, in quantifying and analysing uncertainty, in determining key source categories, in recalculating emissions data, and in setting up quality assurance and quality control plans.

Para. 12: Key source analysis

- A key source category is one that is prioritised within the national inventory system because its estimate has a significant influence on a country's total inventory of direct greenhouse gases in terms of absolute level of emissions or trends in emissions, or both.
- Countries can prioritize their efforts to improve their overall estimates. Such a process will lead to improved quality, as well as greater confidence in the emissions estimates that are developed.
- It is good practice to identify national key source categories in a systematic and objective manner. The IPCC Good Practice Guidance explains how key sources are determined.
B. Reporting

**Para. 13: Institutional arrangements**

- Parties are welcome to provide information about the procedures and arrangements (e.g. institutional) established in order to sustain the process of data collection and archiving. This is intended to help make inventory preparation a continuous process.

**Para. 14: Direct greenhouse gases**

- 3 direct GHGs (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O) should be provided
- on a gas-by-gas basis (i.e. no single aggregate figure)
- in units of mass (the IPCC generally uses Gg, i.e. 1,000 tonnes).
- This information will be used in Table 1 and is greatly facilitated by the use of the IPCC inventory software which automatically summarizes this information.
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- **Para. 15: Information on HFCs, PFCs and SF$_6$**
  - In their INC, some Parties have already reported on emissions of HFCs, PFCs or SF$_6$. **Table 2**, contained in the annex to the UNFCCC guidelines, provides a framework for the reporting of such emissions.

- **Para. 16: Information on CO, NO$_x$ and NMVOCs**
  - Reporting in **Table 1** is greatly facilitated by the use of the IPCC inventory software which automatically summarizes this information.

- **Para. 17: Information on SO$_x$**
  - Reporting in **Table 1** is greatly facilitated by the use of the IPCC inventory software which automatically summarizes this information.

- **Para. 18: Use of reference vs. sectoral approach**
  - Both approaches should be used.
  - It would be useful to explain/discuss the difference between the two results.
  - This can help to further improve future GHG inventories by progressively reducing this level of uncertainty.
  - The reporting of both approaches is greatly facilitated by the use of the IPCC inventory software which automatically summarizes this information.
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- Para. 19: Bunker fuels
- When data on international bunker fuels is available, Parties should strive to report it, providing any breakdown of this information, as a memo item (i.e. not included in the national total).

- Para. 20: Global warming potentials (GWP)
- Reporting in terms of aggregate emissions (i.e. to convert emissions into CO₂ equivalent) serves the purpose of facilitating the comparison between sectors or comparing the relative importance of each direct GHG.
- If a Party chooses to use GWPs, it should use those provided by the IPCC in its Second Assessment Report, published in 1995 (i.e.: 1 for CO₂, 21 for CH₄ and 310 for N₂O).

- Para. 21: Sources of information
- It is advisable to describe as precisely as possible the sources of information (activity data and emission factors) and methodologies used, especially for country-specific sources and/or sinks which are not part of the IPCC Guidelines.
- It contributes to the clarity of the information and helps the reader to understand what was done and how it was done.
- It is important for Parties to identify the data gaps and to make the link with further improvement to be achieved through capacity-building in order to facilitate further requests for financial and technical assistance.
Para. 22: Use of Table 1 and Table 2

- It is important that Parties use Table 1 and Table 2 contained in the annex to decision 17/CP.8.
- Will be automatically generated by the IPCC inventory software which will be modified by the UNFCCC secretariat.
- It is also advisable to read carefully the footnotes in Table 1 and Table 2. The only notation keys to be used by Parties are the ones agreed to by the IPCC and are listed in the footnote of table 1.
- Particular attention should be paid as to how Table 2 should be presented in order to suit the data available.

Para. 23: Sectoral tables and worksheets

- The sectoral tables, which summarize the emissions by sectors, are automatically generated by the IPCC inventory software.
- The provision of the electronic copy of the worksheets and sectoral tables of the GHG inventory is intended to facilitate the compilation of data for the preparation of compilation and synthesis as well as other documents. This task can easily be achieved by providing the electronic files generated by the IPCC GHG inventory software in MS Excel format.

http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/software.htm
Para. 24: Level of uncertainty

- The GPG has substantially improved the methodology for calculating and managing uncertainties (see chapter 7 of the GPG).
- A major objective of the IPCC methodology is to help national experts reduce uncertainty in their GHG inventories to the minimum level possible.
- However, the approach also recognizes that significant uncertainties will remain despite these efforts, and that these uncertainties will vary widely.
- The provision of such information is intended to help the reader better understand the information contained in the national GHG inventory.

(ii) GEF Operational Procedures for the Expedited Financing of NCs

- To be presented at the GEF Council meeting later this month
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(3) GHG inventories for Asia region

- 148 NAI Parties, 106 NCs, 99 GHG Inv. Already available via the UNFCCC on-line database
- 32 NCs from NAI Parties from Asia
- Still 22 from Asia to be submitted

Distribution of GHG emissions from the Asia region (excluding LUCF)

- Total emissions for 32 countries (excluding LUCF): 2 142 Mt CO₂ Equiv.
- LUCF: 42 Mt CO₂ Equiv. (or 2%)
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(4) What’s next?

- Start preparing request for funding of 2nd NC
- Future development at UNDP/NCSU:
  - NCs Programme for Climate Change (60 M$ project over 6 years)
  - Training Manual for GPG
  - Training module in support of the development of inventory systems for LULUCF sector in NAI countries
  - 2 regional projects (Europe/CIS, and West and Francophone Central Africa) on Improving the Quality of GHG Inventories
- UNFCCC User Manual for the Guidelines on the Preparation of NCs from NAI Parties
- Consultative Group of Experts (CGE) on NAI NCs to conduct hands-on training from 2004
- Why not …?

Some Useful Web Links

UNFCCC/IMP-NAI  http://unfccc.int/program/imp/imp1.html
UNFCCC on-line searchable database on GHG inventories  http://ghg.unfccc.int/default1.htf?time=11%3A27%3A25+AM
UNDP/NCSU(NCSU)  http://www.undp.org/cc/index2.htm
IPCC  http://www.ipcc.ch/
IPCC Software for National GHG Inventory and Workbook  http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gl/software.htm
IPCC GHG Inventory Software Incorporating the Decision 17/CP.8 Tables (will only available from first semester of 2004)  http://unfccc.int/program/mis/ghg/index.html
Technical Support Unit (TSU) for IPCC-NGGIP (National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme)  http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/nes/tsustaff.htm
UNITAR/CC:TRAIN (original CC:TRAIN training materials only available on CD-rom at the moment, should be updated and made available on the UNITAR web site soon)  http://www.unitar.org/ccp/
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On-going Projects under the IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme

Workshop of GHG Inventories in Asia Region (Phuket, Thailand, 13 - 14 November 2003)

Kiyoto Tanabe, Technical Support Unit
IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme

IPCC National GHG Inventories Programme
Reports & Tools for National GHG Inventories

1995: IPCC Guidelines for National GHG Inventories
1997: Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National GHG Inventories & Software for the Workbook
2000: Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National GHG Inventories (GPG2000)

2002: Database on GHG Emission Factors (EFDB) — On-going
2003: Reports on Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry — On-going
  ➢ Good Practice Guidance for LULUCF, etc.

Preparatory phase
Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines

- **Coverage:**
  - 7 major Sectors: Energy, Industrial Processes, Solvent and Other Product Use, Agriculture, Land-Use Change and Forestry, Waste, Others
  - Gases: CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, HFCs, PFCs, SF₆, NMVOCs, CO, NOₓ, SO₂

- **Volume I: Reporting Instructions**
  - General instructions, Reporting tables, Glossary, etc.

- **Volume II: Workbook**
  - Step-by-step instructions with worksheets, Default values
  - IPCC Software – a supplement to the Workbook

- **Volume III: Reference Manual**
  - Scientific background, Methodologies (Tiered approach)

Good Practice Guidance (GPG2000)

- **Coverage:**
  - Gases: CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, HFCs, PFCs, SF₆

- **Elaboration of Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines**

- **Assist countries in producing inventories:**
  - that are neither over- nor underestimates so far as can be judged
  - in which uncertainties are reduced as far as practicable

- **Provide guidance on**
  - Choice of methods/emission factors/activity data
  - Reporting and documentation
  - Identification of Key Source Categories
  - Quantification of Uncertainties
  - QA/QC /etc.
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Database on GHG Emission Factors (EFDB)

➤ Background
  ✔ Reliable emissions factors – crucial in producing accurate GHG inventories
  ✔ Emission factor development – costly, time consuming, requires much expertise
  ✔ Sharing information – cost-effective

=> need for an easily accessible database on emission factors and other parameters used in inventory calculations

In October 2002, EFDB Web version was launched.

Welcome to EFDB!

All users are kindly invited to pay attention to this note. Guidance for users (as of 31 October 2002) can be downloaded (click here). The EFDB User Manual will be made available in due course.

- **Nature of EFDB**: EFDB is meant to be a recognized library, where users can find emission factors and other parameters with background documentation or technical references that can be used for estimating greenhouse gas emissions and removals. The responsibility of using this information appropriately will always remain with the users themselves.

- **Request for data input**: Users are encouraged to provide the EFDB with any relevant proposals on emission factors or other related parameters. If you wish to submit your data for the first time, please contact the Technical Support Unit to obtain your login name and password. Acceptance of such proposals will be subject to decisions by the EFDB Editorial Board using well-defined criteria.

- **Terminology**: EFDB is a database on various parameters to be used in calculation of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases. It covers not only the so-called "emission factors" but also the other relevant parameters. For convenience sake, however, the term "emission factor" or its abbreviation "EF" is sometimes used to represent parameters in this database generally.

Http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/EFDB/main.php
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Database on GHG Emission Factors (EFDB)

Nature of the EFDB

- EFDB is meant to be a recognised library of GHG emission factors and other parameters.
- Users can find emission factors and other parameters with background documentation or technical references that can be used for estimating GHG emissions and removals.
- The responsibility of using this information appropriately will always remain with the users themselves.

Criteria for inclusion of new data

- EFDB is open to any proposals – the Editorial Board will evaluate the data for entry to the database.
- EFDB should assist countries in producing inventories that are neither over- nor underestimates so far as can be judged and in which uncertainties are reduced as far as practicable.
- To this end, the data to be included should be
  - Robust
  - Applicable
  - Documented
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Database on GHG Emission Factors (EFDB)

- At present ...
  - Web-based
  - Contain only the IPCC default data and the data from CORINAIR94
  - To be populated with data from researchers/scientists/experts, industry, other databases, …

- Future of the EFDB
  - CD-ROM version: annually or biannually;
  - Success – depending on input from the global scientific and inventory society;
  - Continuous improvement on the content and functionality – experiences and feedback important

Reports on Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF Programme)

- Background
  - Invitation to the IPCC in the Marrakesh accords
    LULUCF (Land use, land-use change and forestry) Decision 11/CP.7 (at COP7 in November 2001)
  - IPCC response in three tasks:
    - Good Practice Guidance for LULUCF (Task 1)
    - Definitions and inventory methodologies for “Degradation of forests and devegetation of other vegetation types” (Task 2)
    - Practicable methodologies for factoring out direct human-induced effects from the others (Task 3)

Adopted/accepted at IPCC XXI (Vienna, 3-7 Nov 2003)
Reports on Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF Programme)

Task 1:
Good Practice Guidance for LULUCF

- Complements the IPCC 1996 Guidelines and existing Good Practice Guidance (GPG2000)
- Contents:
  - Chapter 1: Overview
  - Chapter 2: Consistent representation of land areas
  - Chapter 3; LUCF Sector Good Practice Guidance
  - Chapter 4: Supplementary methods and Good Practice Guidance arising from the Kyoto Protocol
  - Chapter 5: Cross-cutting issues

Reports on Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF Programme)

Task 2:
Definitions and methodological options for degradation of forests and devegetation of other vegetation types

- Builds on Task 1 methodologies
- Table of contents:
  - Chapter 1: Overview
  - Chapter 2: Options for Definitions of Forest Degradation and Devegetation of Other Vegetation Types
  - Chapter 3: Methodological Options for Estimating Emissions from Forest Degradation and Devegetation
  - Chapter 4: Implications of Definitional Options for Forest Degradation and Devegetation under Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol
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**Reports on Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF Programme)**

Task 3:
Development of practicable methodologies for factoring out direct human-induced changes in carbon stocks and greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks from those due to indirect human-induced and natural effects (such as those from CO$_2$ fertilisation or nitrogen deposition), and effect due to past practices in forests

- Challenging – Good science is not available for development of comprehensive methodologies for factoring out.
- IPCC XXI decided to forward the Scientific Statement to SBSTA - SBSTA19 in December 2003 is expected to consider this issue.


**Background**
- Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines and GPG reports
  - Guidelines about ten year old – partly obsolete
  - Three sets of reports – one user-friendly set
  - EFDB – complement to the GLs and GPG
  - Experience in the use

- SBSTA 17 (October – November 2002) – invitation to the IPCC – by early 2006
- IPCC Inventory Task Force Bureau 9th session (late November 2002) – plan for the revision
- IPCC XX (February 2003) – endorsed preparatory steps for the revision
Revision of the 1996 IPCC Guidelines
(2006 IPCC Guidelines)

Scoping Meeting on the project

- A expert group scoping meeting on the project was held on 16-18 September 2003 in Geneva to develop draft TOR, TOC and Work Plan.

- Various key issues were discussed:
  - Scope of gases
  - Scope of source/sink categories
    - New sources/sinks
    - Restructuring new and existing sources/sinks
  - Methodological updates/improvements
  - Improving user-friendliness, linking project level/entity level reporting and national inventories, etc.

Development in the future

- IPCC Inventory Task Force Bureau, at its 11th session (19 September 2003), considered the draft TOR, TOC & Work Plan based on the outcomes of the scoping meeting.

- Draft TOR, TOC & WP (IPCC-XXI/Doc.10) was endorsed with some amendments by the IPCC XXI (Vienna, 3-7 November 2003).

- Nomination and selection of the authors is envisaged from November 2003 - February 2004.

- Writing will start in Spring 2004 with a view to completion in early 2006 to meet SBSTA invitation.
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Thank you.

http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp
Recent problems and efforts on preparing inventory in Japan
Mr. Yoshiteru Sakaguchi

Outline

- History of Japan’s Inventory Development
- Current Institutional Arrangement
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**History of Japan’s Inventory Development**

1980’s  
Estimation of CO₂ emissions were started by researchers

1989  
Development of CH₄ and N₂O emissions estimation methods was started by Environment Agency (predecessor of MOE)

1990  
National CO₂ emissions were estimated according to the establishment of “Action Program to Arrest Global Warming”

1992 onward  
CO₂ emissions were reported to “Council of Ministers for Global Environment Conservation under the cooperation with ministries.

1994.9  
Submission of the first National Communication (NC1)

1996.7  
In-depth review of NC1  
Guideline for NC2 was established

1996.9  
Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines were approved
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**History of Japan’s Inventory Development**

1996.10-12
Revision of JNGI (Japan National GHG Inventory) under ad-hoc expert committee to reflect the comment of IDR, revision of IPCC guidelines and the best available scientific information

1997.6
Submission of the second National Communication

1998.10
Annual inventory submission to UNFCCC was started

1999.10
UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventory

1999.11-2000.9
“Committee for the GHG Emissions Estimation Methods” was set up for the revision of JNGI

2000.5
Good Practice Guidance was published

2000.7
Submission of JNGI 2000 (CRF and relevant data set)
(improvement: CRF application, Actual emissions of F-gas, Addition of new sources)
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**History of Japan’s Inventory Development**

2001.5

*Individual review (centralized review) of JNGI 2000*

2001.9 – 2002.7

“Committee for the GHG Emissions Estimation Methods”
was set up for the Quantitative uncertainty assessment
and the development of method

2002.5

Submission of the third National Communication

2002.8

Submission of JNGI 2002 (CRF and relevant data set)
(improvement: Sectoral approach for CO₂ emissions, etc)

2003.8

Submission of JNGI 2003 (CRF)

2003.9

The first NIR (National Inventory Report) submission

2003.10

*Individual review (In-country visit) of JNGI 2003*
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Current Institutional Arrangement

- MOE compiles Japan's inventory including following information
  - estimation of GHG emissions and removals
  - identification of key source categories
  - uncertainty assessment, etc.

- Relevant ministries, agencies, and organizations provide data for EF and activity data

- Actual task is conducted in Greenhouse Gas Inventory Office (GIO) in National Institute for Environmental Studies, with assistance of consultants

Current Institutional Arrangement

- **Ministry of the Environment**
  - Inventory compilation
  - Assessment of methodology
  - Uncertainty assessment
  - Specification of key sources
  - Development of QA/QC system
  - Liaison with the expert review panel
  - Dissemination of information to the public

- **Greenhouse Gas Inventory Office of Japan (GIO)**
  - Activity Data
    - *Data associated with EF*
    - Measured data
    - Fuel mix ratios
    - Product mix ratios
    - etc.

- **Consultants**
  - Sample numbers
  - Identification of errors
  - Truncation
  - etc.

- **Other stakeholder organizations**
  - Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry
  - Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare
  - Ministry of the Environment (Waste Management and Recycling Department)
  - Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport
  - Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
  - Ministry of the Environment (Waste Management and Recycling Department)

- **Relevant ministries, governmental agencies, and organizations**
  - Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry
  - Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport
  - Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
  - Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare
  - Other stakeholder organizations
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Current Institutional Arrangement

- MOE set up the Committee for the GHGs Emissions Estimation Methods, since 2000
- The committee was in charge of methodological development of the inventory
- Approximately 60 experts participated.

Trends in overall emissions and removals

- Overall emission of GHGs:
  - 1,187 [Mt CO2 eq.] in 1990 (CO2, CH4, N2O)
  - 1,299 [Mt CO2 eq.] in 2001 (CO2, CH4, N2O, HFCs, PFCs, SF6)
- Increased by 5.2% since KP’s Base Year: 1990…CO2, CH4, N2O 1995…HFCs, PFCs, SF6
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**Trends in overall emissions and removals**

- CO$_2$ +8.2%, CH$_4$ -18.0%, N$_2$O -12.0% (since 1990)
- HFCs -22.1%, PFCs -13.7%, SF$_6$ -72.9% (since 1995)
- Share of CO$_2$ amounts to be 93.4%

**Remaining Issues**

- **Delay of submission**
  According to Dec.11/CP4, Annex I parties are requested to submit annual inventory by 15th April.
  -- Japanese Inventories were submitted on July ~ August these years

- **Reporting on a calendar year basis**
  1996 IPCC Guidelines: “Inventories are prepared on a calendar year basis.” (Jan. ~Dec)
  -- Japanese Inventories are prepared on a fiscal year basis (Apr. ~Mar.).
  (Most of Japanese statistics are prepared on a fiscal year basis)
Recent problems and efforts on preparing inventory in Japan
Mr. Yoshiteru Sakaguchi
Recent problems and efforts on preparing inventory in Japan
Mr. Tomoyuki Aizawa

Outline

- Development of Methodologies & Reporting
- Annual Preparation
- Japan’s National GHGs Inventories File System
- Further Development
Recent problems and efforts on preparing inventory in Japan
Mr. Tomoyuki Aizawa

**Development of Methodologies & Reporting**

- What did drive development and improvement of Japan’s inventories?
  - **The international requirement**
    - In-depth review of Japan’s NC
    - Publication of Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines
    - Publication of Good Practice Guidance (2000)
    - Revision of UNFCCC reporting guideline (application of CRF)
    - Annual Inventory Review under FCCC
  
  - **The domestic requirement**
    - The best available scientific knowledge in Japan
    - Actual measurement of coefficients such as EF by the industrial group, which is substituted for the IPCC default values
    - Revision of statistics used in the inventory preparation

- Japan had developed initial inventory before 1995 IPCC GL, which was not yet transparent, comparable and complete.

- Improvement after the In-depth review of Japan’s NC & the Publication of Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines
  - Before NC1 and 1996 IPCC GL, CO₂ emissions allocated to each sector by the electricity consumption along the method developed by Japanese researchers. In the IDR of NC1, ERT pointed out that these methods were not follow the IPCC methods, therefore we revised our inventory for UNFCCC without allocation of CO₂ from electricity consumption.
  - We revised the estimation methods of LUCF according to the ERT's recommendation.
  - Other small improvement with IDR and IPCC GL
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Development of Methodologies & Reporting

• Improvement after the Publication of Good Practice Guidance (2000)
  • Before GPG(2000), only the qualitative uncertainty assessment by expert judgement was submitted. The quantitative uncertainty assessment was done along the GPG.

Is there any measured data?

Is sample size larger than 5?

Is the expert judgement available?

Yes

Assessment of the results by experts
  • Adequacy of: the PDF, 95% confidence interval, and the means

Is there the standard value of uncertainty in the GPG?

Box 3
  Adopting the upper limiting value indicated

Yes

Box 2
  Expert judgement
  • Providing items below from experts and identifying the uncertainties
    • PDF and its reasons
    • upper limit, lower limit
    • upper and lower limiting values of 95% confidence interval
    • mean value, quarter value, 3 quarter value

Box 4
  Adopting the upper limiting value of similar source

No

Box 1
  • Determining 95% confidence interval by statistical procedure, and identifying the uncertainties.

# IPCC Source Category GHGs Emissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EF</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Combined Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ % ]</td>
<td>[ % ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined uncertainty as % of total national emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Source Category</th>
<th>GHGs</th>
<th>EF</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Combined Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fuel Combustion</td>
<td>- Solid Fuels - Steam Coal (imported) CO2</td>
<td>205,469.4</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fuel Combustion - Liquid Fuels - Diesel Oil or Gas Oil CO2</td>
<td>109,437.0</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Industrial Processes - E. Production of F-gas</td>
<td>1. By-product Emissions (HCFC-22) HFCs</td>
<td>9,336.6</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fuel Combustion</td>
<td>- Liquid Fuels - Steam Coal (imported) CO2</td>
<td>205,469.4</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Fuel Combustion - Gaseous Fuels - Town Gas*</td>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>54,695.8</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>- D. Agricultural Soils- 3. Indirect Emissions - N Leaching &amp; Run-off N2O</td>
<td>3,764.4</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fuel Combustion</td>
<td>- Liquid Fuels - Heating Oil A CO2</td>
<td>80,651.9</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>- B. Manure Management</td>
<td>Non-Dairy Cattle N2O</td>
<td>3,669.7</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fuel Combustion</td>
<td>- Liquid Fuels - Heating Oil A CO2</td>
<td>80,651.9</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>- B. Manure Management</td>
<td>Non-Dairy Cattle N2O</td>
<td>3,669.7</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fuel Combustion</td>
<td>- Liquid Fuels - Refinery Gas CO2</td>
<td>33,599.8</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Industrial Processes - E. Production of F-gas</td>
<td>6. Semiconductor Manufacture PFCs</td>
<td>3,860.7</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>- D. Agricultural Soils - 1. Direct Soil Emissions - Synthetic Fertilizers N2O</td>
<td>2,156.9</td>
<td>130%</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>- B. Manure Management</td>
<td>Non-Dairy Cattle N2O</td>
<td>3,669.7</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>- D. Agricultural Soils - 1. Direct Soil Emissions - Synthetic Fertilizers N2O</td>
<td>2,156.9</td>
<td>130%</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fuel Combustion</td>
<td>- Liquid Fuels - Refinery Gas CO2</td>
<td>33,599.8</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⇒ GPG made our inventory more accurate and facilitate the inventory development.
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Development of Methodologies & Reporting

• Improvement after the Revision of UNFCCC reporting guideline
  • National Inventory Report (NIR) was required to prepare in the UNFCCC GL. In this year, Japan’s first NIR was prepared and submitted to UNFCCC.
  • The UNFCCC GL required the fulfillment of the all cells of the CRF with the Notation Keys such as “NE”, “NO”, etc. This requirement relating to the Common Reporting Format (CRF) facilitated checking the completeness. The sources “NE” were tried to estimate in the Committee for GHGs Emissions Estimation Methods.

Development of Methodologies & Reporting

• Improvement according to the Annual Inventory Review under FCCC
  • Japan’s inventories have been reviewed twice in the individual review. The first one was centralized review in 2001. However, NIR was not prepared. So, it was difficult to review without NIR and we could have little point to addressed.
  • In this year, In-country visit review was conducted with 1st NIR. The ERT has indicated many potential point to improve, which was quite helpful for our inventory development.
    For example
    ✓ In the category of agriculture, possible improvement of technical aspects in N2O emissions measurement from soils.
    ✓ In the category of energy, our misunderstandings in establishment of EF of CH4 and N2O from fuel combustion, which was considered intake air. Intake air was not considered in the IPCC GL, etc.
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**Development of Methodologies & Reporting**

- **The best available scientific knowledge in Japan**
  - There are many researches on the global warming issue under the research project such as the Global Environment Research Fund of Ministry of the Environment of Japan.
    - **Category 4. Agriculture**: Enteric Fermentation, Manure Management, Field burning of agricultural residues
    - **Category 6. Waste**: Wastewater Handling

- **Actual measurement of coefficients such as EF by the industrial group, which is substituted for the IPCC default values**
  - In the 1st Committee for GHGs Estimation Method, EF of some sources were adopted IPCC default values. In the process and after the process, some related stakeholders such as industrial groups, who thought IPCC default values were not adequate for circumstances of Japan, provide the data of coefficients based on actual measurement and/or estimation.
    - **Category 1. Energy**: Fugitive emissions (Coal Mining, Town gas production, Oil production, Oil refinery, Natural Gas Production, Natural Gas Processing), etc.
    - **Category 2. Industrial Processes**: Production of Cement, Lime, Carbon Black, Ethylene, 1,2-Dichloroethane, Styrene, Coke, etc.
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Development of Methodologies & Reporting

These factors below drove the inventories development in Japan.

• The international requirement
  • The international requirement was often the primary motivation of inventories development.

• The domestic requirement
  • The disclosure of process and method of inventories preparation sometimes could be stakeholders’ motivation to obtain the actual coefficients such as EF. We think that these data might improve the IPCC’s default values as substitute.

⇒ The Committee for GHGs Estimation Methods considered issues from these factors above.

Annual Preparation of GHGs Inventory

• Japan’s inventories are prepared in every year according to the COP decision.

• Approximate timeline of inventories preparation is shown below (case of last year 2002-2003):

  | Feb.        | Data submission request to related stakeholders |
  | Feb.–Apr.   | Data Input to the file system (JNGI 200X) |
  | 15 Apr.     | Calculation and updating the link between JNGI files |
  | Apr.–May    | Review and consultation with government agencies |
  | June–Aug.   | Inventory submission (CRF) |
  | Aug.        | Inventory submission (NIR) |
  | Sep.        | |

NIR preparation
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Annual Preparation of GHGs Inventory

- Late submission of Japan’s inventories are caused by activity data.

Japan’s National GHGs Inventories File System

84 files (1,815 sheets)
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Japan’s National GHGs Inventories File System

2.A.1. Cement Production

Equation

\[ E = EF \times A \]

\[ A = Aw \times (1 - Rw) \]

\[ EF = \frac{MW_{\text{co2}}}{MW_{\text{lime}}} \times P_{\text{lime}} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Consumption of Limestone (wet) [t]</th>
<th>Moisture content [%]</th>
<th>Consumption of Limestone (dry) [t]</th>
<th>MW_{\text{lime}} [g]</th>
<th>MW_{\text{co2}} [g]</th>
<th>P_{\text{lime}} [%]</th>
<th>Emission Factor [t CO2/t limestone]</th>
<th>Emissions [t CO2]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>92,511,000</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>89,365,626</td>
<td>100.09</td>
<td>44.01</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>37,006,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>96,345,000</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>93,165,615</td>
<td>100.09</td>
<td>44.01</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>38,605,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>99,392,000</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>96,211,456</td>
<td>100.09</td>
<td>44.01</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>39,894,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>98,441,000</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>95,192,447</td>
<td>100.09</td>
<td>44.01</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>39,497,789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>100,898,000</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>97,669,264</td>
<td>100.09</td>
<td>44.01</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>40,552,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>100,632,000</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>97,311,144</td>
<td>100.09</td>
<td>44.01</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>40,430,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>101,524,000</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>98,275,232</td>
<td>100.09</td>
<td>44.01</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>40,857,940</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Feature of JNGI File system
  • **Transparency:** disclosure of all files other than confidential data. If you see these files, you could trace all the estimation process. These files facilitate the review by the third party.
  • **Updating automatically:** Structure of JNGI covers from data input to reporting with CRF.
  • **Same Structure:** Most files have same structure to facilitate making new files such as additional sources, revision of methods.
  • **All time series:** Most files include estimation of all time series. Therefore, it is easy to recalculate and assess the time series consistency.
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Further Development

- Following the reporting requirement completely.
  - **Transparency**: improvement of the NIR with more explanation
  - **Completeness**: establishment of methods in sources reported as “NE”
  - **Comparability**: improvement of the CRF reporting, which includes inadequate data provision
  - **Consistency**: reporting the data through the all time series
  - **Accuracy**: when the latest scientific knowledge become available, method would be improved. (e.g. carbon balance in the refinery sector, etc.)
  - Formal QA/QC procedure
  - **Comparison** with other method (e.g. IPCC default methods)

Thank you! ありがとうございました。
Thailand’s Experiences in GHGs Inventories

Dr. Asdaporn Krairapanond
Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment
Workshop of GHG Inventories in Asia Region

November 13-14, 2003
Phuket, Thailand

Overview

- 1990: 1st official GHGs inventory prepared in 1997
- Mainly supported by US Country Study Program
- Partly supported by the Royal Thai Government
Overview (cont.)

- 1994 national inventory of GHGs represents the 2nd official inventory in Thailand
- Mainly supported by the Royal Thai Government
- Partly supported by GEF
- Used as the official data for National Communication

Methodology used

- 1994 inventory is the result of recent studies conducted by researchers from various research and academic institutes
- 1996 IPCC Revised Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
Methodology used (cont.)

- used local activity data to substitute for the default data recommended by IPCC
- Inventory was emphasized with task forces for specific sectors

Methodology used (cont.)

- Experts from academia were utilized while knowledge and capacities were transferred to relevant government officials
- A series of internal review and workshops have been conducted throughout the period of inventory and National Communication preparation
**CO₂ Emission by Sources, 1990, 1994**

Gross CO₂ 241 Tg (sink 39 Tg): Net 202 Tg

Energy emitted more than one-half of CO₂: forest and landuse emitted less than that of 1990

Methane 3.2 Tg: About 91% from rice and livestock
GHGs Inventory (cont.)

- $\text{N}_2\text{O} \ 56 \text{ Gg};$ mostly from manure and agriculture soils
- $\text{NO}_x \ 287 \text{ Gg};$ mainly from energy
- $\text{CO} \ 555 \text{ Gg};$ mainly from land use change and forestry and agriculture
- $\text{NMVOC} \ 2.5 \text{ Tg};$ mainly from energy
- $\text{Total 1994 net emissions} = 286 \text{ Tg CO}_2$ equivalent

Experiences Gained

- Information and data on climate change accumulated
- Experts practiced new methodologies on climate change issues
- Capacities of large numbers of scientists and social scientists were enhanced
Experiences Gained (cont.)

- Related officials were trained through workshops and seminars
- Sub-regional and Regional cooperation: emission factors (Malaysia)

Problems and Limitations

- Activity data, local emission factors and methodologies appropriate to national circumstances:
  - limited related research activities to support inventory and National Communication preparation
  - lack of certain government policy
  - lack of high-level decision making awareness
Problems and Limitations (cont.)

- Information system and database
- Experts with capacity built are difficult to maintain
- Trained officials are difficult to maintain

Beyond NC

- Enabling Activity Phase II:
  - mainly supported by GEF
  - focused on Technology need assessment, Observation systematic network and emission factors
Next Step

- Information system and database should be established
- Activity data, local emission factors and methodologies appropriate national circumstances should be improved
- Ways must be searched to maintain and enhance local capacities in preparation of inventory and National Communication

Next Step (cont.)

- Establish task forces composed of experts from academia and related official staff to work together in GHGs inventory preparation
- Mobilize the experiences and engagement of related officials to develop information system for activity data and emission factors
Next Step (cont.)

- Government policy framework and high-level decision making awareness are crucial needed

Suggestion for Regional Cooperation

- Encourage the establishment of data bank and information network on activity data and local/sub, regional emission factors in every sector
- Invited Japanese Government to support the development of local/sub, regional emission factors in every sectors
Suggestion for Regional Cooperation (cont.)

- Call for financial and technical support both through bi- and multilateral cooperation
- Encourage IGES and NIES to be the regional cooperation center
- Welcome more communication

Thank you

- Dr. Asdaporn Kairapanond
- Tel./Fax: 662 2982014, 662 2982659
- E-mail: asdaporn@hotmail.com
Workshop on GHG Inventory in Asia Region
Phuket, Thailand
13-14 November 2003

NATIONAL SYSTEM ON PREPARING
GREENHOUSE GAS INVENTORY FOR CAMBODIA

Presented by
Heng Chan Thoeun, Climate Change Office
Ministry of Environment, Cambodia

Outline of the Presentation

- Background
- Institutional Arrangement
- Data Collection and Management
- Issues of GHG inventory preparation
- Future steps
I. Background

As Non-Annex I party to the UNFCCC, Cambodia needs to prepare its National GHG Inventory in the form of National Communication

Cambodia started preparing its first-ever GHG inventory in 1999

The preparation of the national GHG inventory was done under the UNDP/GEF funded project “Climate Change Enabling Enabling Project”

Project Duration: Phase I (3 years, 99-01), and phase II (1 year, 02-03)

The Ministry of Environment (MoE) is the national implementing agency

II. Institutional Arrangement (1)

Under the “Climate Change Enabling Activity Project”, the following committees were established:

1. The Project Steering Committee (PSC) : the policy making body of the project consisting of senior technical level officials from concerned ministries, academic institutions, the private sector, and UNDP;

2. The National Technical Committee (NTC), played an important role in preparation of GHG inventory, GHG mitigation, V&A assessment and national communication as well.
II. Institutional Arrangement (2)

Representatives from government agencies and academic institutes formed the committees:

- Ministry of Environment
- Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
- Ministry of Industry, Mines and Energy
- Ministry of Water Resources and Meteorology
- Ministry of Public Works and Transport
- Ministry of Land Management, Urbanization and Construction
- Ministry of Finance and Economy
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation
- Royal University of Phnom Penh
- Royal University of Agriculture.

III. Data Collection and Management

Activity data was collected from

- Relevant reports
- National documents
- National database
- National Statistical Books etc.
- Field survey (LULUCF in phase 2)

Activity data were grouped into specific sector: energy, agriculture, industrial processes, LULUCF, and waste management

Emission factors: no national emission factors, but used IPCC default values

GHG emission estimated based on the IPCC methodology (1996)
IV. Issues of GHG inventory preparation (1)

Institutional Arrangement:
- Relatively insufficient inter-ministerial/agency cooperation and coordination
- Lack of experiences, limited technical capacity of local staff, limited financial resources, no national experts in the country
- Difficulty in recruiting or keeping qualified staff to work for the project (Low incentives for qualified local staff)
- Limited participation in climate change activities
- No climate change research/training institutions in the country
- Inadequate national climate change policy/strategy
- No formal institutional arrangement for GHG inventories

IV. Issues of GHG inventory preparation (2)

Technical issues:
- Extremely weak activity data for all sectors.
- Complete absence of local emission factors for all sectors.
- Weak data management skill.
- Difficulty in following the Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines, especially for LUCF sector (Differences in forest classification).
- Lack of GHG inventory experts available in the country.
- Difficulty in conducting uncertainty analysis. Inability of local staff and insufficient information.
- Quality and accuracy issues (No substantial technical comments or advice from concerned agencies).
V. Future steps (1)

MoE recently established Climate Change Office (CCO). Main duties are:

- Undertake all the technical activities related to UNFCCC and other climate change related tasks, GHG inventory, National Communication, CDM as well.
- Provide information and advice the the Royal Government in preparation of its position for international meetings and in establishing of national policies, legal instrument and plans in the field of climate change.

V. Future steps (2)

- Promote research activities and human capacity building in the field of climate change in Cambodia.
- Develop new climate change related project proposals for submitting to donor agencies.
- Strengthen networks with national and international agencies.
- Promote public awareness and education on climate change.
National system in Cambodia
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Thank You for Your Attention!
GHG emissions in Mongolia (1)

Main GHGs are Carbon Dioxide and Methane in Mongolia.

Anthropogenic activities associated with the largest sources of carbon dioxide in Mongolia are combustion of fuel for power generation, heat production and conversion of grasslands to crops. The most significant source of methane is enteric fermentation in livestock. Emissions of nitrous oxide, nitrogen oxides and carbon monoxide are insignificant relative to total emissions of carbon dioxide and methane.
GHG emissions in Mongolia (2)

The Mongolia total GHGs emissions is very low. The sharp decrease of GHGs total emission since 1990 till 1995 is mostly due to socio-economic slowdown and subsequent recovery. The emissions of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ start to increase from 1996 as a result of some increased economic activities in coal mining and liquid fuel import. Shortly emissions level follow country’s economic growth.

Even though the Mongolia total GHGs emissions is very low, the annual per capita emission of GHGs in CO$_2$-equivalent is relatively high compared to other countries. It can be explained as very low population (2.4 million) and high requirement of heating for long duration.
National context (1)

Mongolia’s GHGs inventories include emissions of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), methane (CH$_4$), nitrous oxide (N$_2$O), nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) and carbon monoxide (CO). Emissions of other greenhouse gases, such as NMVOCs and PFCs, have not been included in the inventory.

Emissions were estimated for years 1990 to 1998, but according to the COP Guidelines for the preparation of Initial Communications by non-Annex I Parties to the UNFCCC, more detailed data are presented for 1994 as the base year for the inventory.

National context (2)

- Because of the historical, geographical, climatic and economic circumstances of the country, some sources of GHGs such as methane emissions from oil and gas systems, emissions from savanna and agricultural residues burning, methane from rice cultivation, and use of fertilizers on agricultural soils are not applicable.
- A major limitation of the GHG Inventory data was the accuracy of the base data used. The main source of data was the Statistical Yearbook, which presents the only official inter-sectoral balance of energy and material flow in Mongolia.
National context (3)

- Some country-specific sources of GHGs i.e. land used for open mining are considered as a source of CO₂ due to the conversion of grasslands for this purpose and accidental manmade steppe and forest fires in Mongolia occur often in spring and autumn, are the sources of greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, methane, nitrogen and nitrous oxides.

- However, while the last is believed to be a significant source of GHGs in Mongolia, it was not included in the national emission totals considering that the IPCC Guidelines do not consider this as an anthropogenic source at this time.

Institutional arrangements (1)

National Agency for Meteorology, Hydrology and Environment Monitoring was designated by the Government of Mongolia as a leading agency for climate change related studies, including GHG inventories.

The Agency is responsible for Establishment of National GHG Inventory Team, Collecting activity data and Emission Factors, Compiling, Archiving, Updating, and Managing GHG Inventories.
Institutional arrangements (2)

Participating Organisations:

– Ministry of Nature and the Environment
– Ministry of Infrastructure
– Ministry of Agriculture
– Ministry of Food and Agriculture
– State Statistical Office
– Ulaanbaatar City Governor's Office
– Universities
– Private sector and NGOs

Institutional arrangements (3)

National GHG Inventory Team was created. But, the Team is not permanent. National experts work on the contract basis when a inventory activities are necessary.

National Team Leader in National Agency for Meteorology, Hydrology and Environment Monitoring
Planning Immediate Objectives

1. Strengthening of national arrangements for compiling, archiving, updating, and managing greenhouse gas inventories

2. Create a Sustainable institutional process

3. Enhance technical capacity for preparing national inventories

4. Improve emission factors and methods
National Strategy (1)

The main strategies of the country are:

• to improve the quality of the GHG inventories to be included in the Second National Communication.
• to sustain Technical and Institutional capacity

National Strategy (2)

Activities to strengthen national arrangements:

• Training of National GHG Inventory Team in the IPCC’s Good Practice Guidance
• Development of Long-term National Strategies to improve inventory preparation
• Identification of the national institutions and organisations to be targeted for long-term involvement in the inventory process.
• Development of a manual of procedures for preparing a national GHG inventory
National Project Strategy (2)

Activities on improving selected emission factors and methods:

• Systematically documentation of the reliability of the emission factors and re-estimation of EFs.

• Preparation of key source inventory.

• Training of National experts in quality analysis and quality control (QA/QC) procedures

• Development of plans of the QA/QC that can be put into place for Second National Communications.

• Archiving of all activity data and emission factors

Thank you
DEVELOPMENT OF A NATIONAL SYSTEM ON PREPARING GHG INVENTORIES IN THE PHILIPPINES

By
Raquel Ferraz Villanueva

INTRODUCTION

Greenhouse gases (GHGs) which include carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and the chlorine and fluorine based gases, play a major role in regulating the earth’s temperature. Human activities, such as fossil fuel burning and land use changes, threaten to enhance this natural phenomenon by increasing the rate at which the earth’s temperature has been rising in recent decades.
The national GHG inventory is a critical instrument in climate change policy. When taken in its historical context, the inventory provides an immediate way of differentiating the responsibility of mitigating climate change through the reduction of GHG emissions. On the national scale, the inventory also provides an effective way of identifying those sectors which contribute significantly to a country’s GHG emission total. It can be an important index of efficiency and sustainable development.

In cooperation with various government agencies, experts from the Philippine Atmospheric, Geophysical, and Astronomical Services Administration (PAGASA) conducted the first national inventory of GHG emissions for 1990. This was with the assistance from the U.S. Country Studies Program. The Inter-Agency Committee on Climate Change initiated a similar activity in 1999, collaborating with a research team from the Manila Observatory, to complete an emissions inventory for 1994, this time under a grant from the UNDP-GEF Enabling Activity program. This inventory, together with information about national policies and vulnerability and adaptation assessments, was included in the Philippines’ initial national communication to the UNFCCC.
Compiling a national GHG emissions inventory in a developing country context is hampered by a variety of constraints, such as the lack of financial, technical, and human resources. One of the most critical barriers is the absence of an institutional environment that will facilitate the inventory process itself. Institutionalizing or regularizing the process on a national scale will help sustain the activity and effectively utilize existing resources and expertise.

The Institutional Environment: The Inter-Agency Committee on Climate Change

The Philippine Inter-Agency Committee on Climate Change (IACCC) was instituted in 1991 by virtue of Administrative Order No. 220 in recognition of the need to establish an intergovernmental mechanism to address issues related to climate change. The role of IACCC in institutionalizing the GHG inventory process is crucial because it acts as the coordinating body for all climate change activities in the Philippines.
The IACCC is tasked to formulate policies and response strategies to climate change and to evaluate climate change-related projects insofar as these are consonant with national policy. It also approves any information submitted to the UNFCCC, including the national emissions inventory and the national communication.

Its membership formally includes representatives from 13 government agencies or offices and an umbrella network of non-governmental organizations (NGOs). The Secretaries of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) and Department of Science and Technology (DOST) serve as co-chairs of the committee. At present, PAGASA represents DOST in this capacity.
In addition to DENR, DOST, and PAGASA, committee members include the Department of Foreign Affairs (DFA), Energy (DOE), Transportation and Communication (DOTC), Public Works and Highways (DPWH), the National Economic and Development Authority (NEDA), the Philippine Senate, the Environmental Management Bureau (EMB-DENR), Forest Management Bureau (FMB), the National Mapping Resource and Information Agency (NAMRIA), and the Philippine Network on Climate Change (PNCC).

The EMB-DENR serves as the secretariat to the IACCC. It provides administrative support to the Committee and coordinates its meetings and activities. It is also responsible for drafting proposals for projects and policies, which are given to members for comments and review.
The IACCC represents the country in the regular meetings of the UNFCCC, such as the Conference of the Parties, and the Subsidiary Bodies. In its ten years of existence, the committee’s level of activity has varied according to its leadership and organizational constraints. Understanding these constraints on IACCC’s role of institutional oversight is critical because these overlap with those that concern the institutionalization of the GHG inventory process.

Constraints on Institutionalization

The development of the inventory system in the Philippines encountered some constraints especially on organizational/legal limitations and technical issues.
Organizational and Legal Limitations

1. Abstract nature of the issue

Many of the challenges posed by the existing institutional environment stem from the relatively abstract nature of climate change issues. A term such as “deforestation” invokes a sense of familiarity that most people associate with the sight of bare mountains; but the word “climate change” is still an intangible concept for the average person. Since government often behaves as a reactionary force to public pressure, it is understandable why the government as a whole has remained relatively inactive on climate change issues thus far. Consequently, few government policies exist that address the Philippine approach to climate change issues.

2. Lack of awareness

One effect of the abstract nature of climate change is a lack of awareness about the variety of issues it touches. If stakeholders were more aware of the impacts that climate change can have on local people – especially with respect to the Philippines’ vulnerability to these impacts – they might be more likely to view national commitments such as the greenhouse gas inventory as a priority.
3. Unstable policy environment

Within agencies that have already recognized climate change activities as a priority, the continuity of these activities is perennially threatened by every change in administration. It is the standing secretaries of these agencies who have designated funds and personnel to implement these programs. The priorities of subsequent secretaries may not include comparable allocations for climate change activities.

4. Poor communication among organizations with data

The coordination among groups with access to activity data – in both private and public sectors – is insufficient or nonexistent for compiling the inventory in an efficient manner. Individual government agencies do not regularly share data, they sometimes require private firms to report the same data. Inadequate coordination hinders the information management aspect of the inventory process and leads to problems in data access and consistency.
5. Inability to require submission of data

The IACCC cannot require GHG emission source sectors to report the data necessary to complete the inventory without an appropriate directive. Since private firms and government agencies are not mandated to produce some of the essential figures, some sectors lack a system capable of providing the IACCC with the input that it needs. This lack of authority on the part of the IACCC prevents the inventory team from completing the inventory without voluntary cooperation.

6. Conflict of interest

Private firms refuse to comply with requests for data from government agencies because they believe that some of these data are proprietary and thus might compromise their long-term viability. While some fear that their high levels of emissions would damage their public image, others see the possibility of mandatory emissions reductions following a period of voluntary monitoring as something that could threaten their continued operation. Consequently, they are reluctant to report emissions as individual entities.
7. Insufficient funding

Most agency budgets provide little or no funding for information systems management, emission factors research, or staff training related to climate change issues. As a result, technical expertise and potentially useful statistical resources remain untapped in many cases.

8. Inadequate number of personnel

Without a directive to tackle matters related to climate change, government personnel cannot be officially assigned to include climate change activities in their daily work. Since this means that any government staff who attend to IACCC matters do so on their own time and in addition to their regular duties, many others are unwilling to get involved. However, regular staff operations overlap with some components of the inventory process; a system in place ensures that the workload demanded of agency personnel is minimal.
Technical Issues

Technical issues were encountered during the development of the system and were also encountered by those involved in the inventory compilation. These are:

1. Inconsistent and unreliable information
2. Inefficient data management systems
3. Absence of localized emission factors
4. Lack of a process for quality assurance and quality control

Institutionalizing the GHG inventory process

By using the experiences both in the international and domestic context, the Philippine GHG inventory process benefited from the constraints encountered and the strategies that worked in these contexts.

The Philippines, as a developing country, was able to relate better to the levels of institutional development in non-Annex I countries than those in their Annex I counterparts.

Institutionalization of the Philippine inventory system included a phase wherein international donors funded its development. The mechanisms used to develop the GHG inventory systems were extremely transparent.
Keeping in mind the lessons learned from institutionalization in other contexts and the experience of agencies involved in the inventory process, the Philippines formulated strategies for systematizing and regularizing the compilation of the national GHG emissions inventory.

Four central strategies were employed in order to institutionalize the inventory process. These are:

a. informing strategically positioned people about concerns of climate change and training people in the inventory process;
b. strengthening the IACCC as an institution;
c. establishing within the IACCC a technical working group on the GHG inventory; and
d. developing an information system to prepare the inventory.

A. Awareness building and technical training

The complex nature of climate change prevents many people from understanding it which leads to indifference toward or ignorance about the inventory process.

To encourage cooperation with the inventory process, a basic understanding of climate change issues must be achieved at both the technical and managerial levels of the agencies involved in the process. Government, executive and legislative decision-makers were briefed regularly on the ever-evolving issues of climate change.
These briefings were designed in such a way as to facilitate the formulation of mandates needed to act on various climate change concerns, one of which is the inventory process. Technical staff were also informed since they were the ones who are involved in inventory compilation.

Technical capacity was also developed among those involved in the inventory process and those who are in the position to train others within their organizations.

B. Institutional strengthening of the IACCC

Three pre-requisites were established to pursue the existing authority of the IACCC. These are:

A. A Full Time Secretariat
B. Continuous financial support
C. Ability to enforce compliance
C. Technical Working Group on GHG Inventory

An overall central steering committee composed of organizations with experience in conducting inventories and representatives from the lead agencies of each of the Sectoral Working Group (SWGs) is called the GHG Technical Working Group (GHG-TWG).

The GHG-TWG shall oversee all technical aspects of the inventory process, focus on cross-cutting issues, act as final mediator in any dispute among members of the SWGs, and will be responsible for synthesizing the sectoral inventory results from the SWGs into the final national inventory.

By providing administrative support for the technical functions of the members, the IACCC Secretariat will act as the driving force behind the completion of the periodic inventory.

The GHG-TWG served as the venue to formalize these new ties and to solidify pre-existing relationships, allowing contributing organizations to discuss difficulties or conflicts that arise. In order to establish a continuous system for completing the inventory, the GHG-TWG specified four components of the reporting process:

- A timetable for agencies to submit data,
- The flow of information from the source agencies to the central team,
- The level of data analysis to be conducted at each reporting level, and
- A strategy for ensuring compliance with the established requirements.
D. GHG information management system

Considering the volume of data required to complete the inventory, a process without an organize data management system is doomed to fail. In the system currently employed to source the data and complete the inventory worksheets, too many people were needed to sift through files and convert measurements from one reporting format to another. In order to maintain a continuous reporting schedule, more efficient methods were developed for compiling the statistics necessary to complete the reports.

To facilitate data submission by the reporting agencies and to minimize paperwork among agencies, a computer/internet based system for reporting GHG emissions was established. Implementing this type of database would eliminate the need for so many “middle men” in the inventory process. It would minimize the time required to compute the emissions from the activity data by enabling a user to input the activity data directly into the database, with the conversion factors already programmed into the system.
Freed from the need to evaluate worksheets for human error and to discuss minute details of translating data into actual emissions, members of the GHG-TWG and SWGs would have more time to focus on cross-cutting issues, local emissions research, managing uncertainties and designing a more complete QA/QC process.

Good Day!
Thank You....
Technical issues related to the preparation of the GHG inventory:

A Study of Thai Emission Factors in Agriculture and Waste Sector

Workshop on Greenhouse Gas Inventories in Asia
13-14 November 2003, Phuket, Thailand

Sirintornthep Towprayoon
The Joint Graduate School of Energy and Environment
King Mongkut’s University of Technology Thonburi

GHG Inventory

- Source categories : CO2, CH4, N2O, NOx, CO, NMVOC
- Methodologies : 1996 IPCC revised Guideline
- Emission Factor : Mostly IPCC default
Thailand
Dr. Sirintornthep Towprayoon

Emission factors used in inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>IPCC default</th>
<th>Country specific</th>
<th>Development of EF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LULUCF</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agriculture sector : Rice field

Emissions of methane from rice fields can be represented as follows:

\[
F_C = EF \times A \times 10^{-12}
\]

where:

\( F_C \) = estimated annual emission of methane from a particular rice water regime and for a given organic amendment, in Tg/yr;

\( EF \) = methane emission factor integrated over integrated cropping season, in g/m²;

\( A \) = annual harvested area cultivated under conditions specified above. It is given by the cultivated area times the number of cropping seasons per year, i.e., in m²/yr.
Study of emission factor in rice field

- Factor effecting emission
- Scaling factor
- Model implementation

Factor influence emission
- Soil type
- Rice variety
- Rice ecosystem
- Fertilizer application
- Drainage System

Methane emission involved with methane production and methane transportation via plant stem

Nitrous oxide involved with water drainage system
Factor effecting emission: Soil type

Methane emissions CH₄ (mg/m²/h), Chainat variety

Factor effecting emission: Soil type and rice varieties

Un-planted 18.3869 49.492
Chainat 1 9.36 28.2126
Suphanburi 90 11.3259 34.8965
Suphanburi 60 12.6047 34.5681
Suphanburi 1 12.6374 29.349
Koh Koh 15 10.4227 33.0948
Thailand
Dr. Sirintornthep Towprayoon

Factor effecting emission : Soil type and rice varieties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil series</th>
<th>Methane emission (kg/ha/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangkhen</td>
<td>16.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratchaburi</td>
<td>27.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saraburi</td>
<td>18.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factor effecting emission : Fertilizers

- Basal + Urea
- Basal + Ammonium
- Basal + Ammonium Sulphate
- Basal only
- No fertilizer applied
Thailand
Dr. Sirintornthep Towprayoon

Factor effecting emission : Fertilizers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fertilizer</th>
<th>Methane emissions</th>
<th>Grain yield</th>
<th>Emission per yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(kg/ha/d)</td>
<td>(g/m²/d)</td>
<td>(kg/rai)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal + Urea</td>
<td>20.22</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>774.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate</td>
<td>19.29</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>743.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>19.45</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal only</td>
<td>19.10</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>530.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No fertilizer applied</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>398.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factor effecting emission : Water level management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water management</th>
<th>Methane emissions (Ratchaburi soil series)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(kg/ha/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooded every 7 days (5 cm.)</td>
<td>20.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooded every 7 days (2.5 cm.)</td>
<td>19.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated soil (no water above ground)</td>
<td>19.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 cm depth flooded</td>
<td>20.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thailand
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Factor effecting emission: Drainage System

4 different drainage system

Local method – drain 1 time during vegetative period
Continuous flood – no draining
Midseason drainage – drain 1 time during flowering period
Multiple drainage – drain 2 times during vegetative and flowering period

Methane emission and soil redox potential from 4 different drainage rice fields
Thailand
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Nitrous oxide and methane emission from 4 different drainage rice fields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>CH4</th>
<th>N2O</th>
<th>CH4</th>
<th>N2O</th>
<th>CH4</th>
<th>N2O</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Net GHGs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4 (kg/ha/crop)</td>
<td>4.375</td>
<td>213.88</td>
<td>291.66</td>
<td>239.55</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>5,030.54</td>
<td>102.43</td>
<td>5,132.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2O (mg/m2/day)</td>
<td>217.50</td>
<td>331.68</td>
<td>243.60</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>5,115.59</td>
<td>115.16</td>
<td>5,230.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4 (kg/ha/crop)</td>
<td>155.02</td>
<td>545.63</td>
<td>173.62</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>3,646.12</td>
<td>159.28</td>
<td>3,805.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2O (mg/m2/day)</td>
<td>139.99</td>
<td>343.60</td>
<td>156.70</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>3,292.49</td>
<td>119.30</td>
<td>3,411.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net GHGs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4 (kg/ha/crop)</td>
<td>4.075</td>
<td>217.50</td>
<td>331.68</td>
<td>243.60</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>5,115.59</td>
<td>115.16</td>
<td>5,230.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2O (mg/m2/day)</td>
<td>155.02</td>
<td>545.63</td>
<td>173.62</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>3,646.12</td>
<td>159.28</td>
<td>3,805.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4 (kg/ha/crop)</td>
<td>3.875</td>
<td>139.99</td>
<td>343.60</td>
<td>156.70</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>3,292.49</td>
<td>119.30</td>
<td>3,411.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2O (mg/m2/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emissions and grain yields from 4 different water management

Grain yields
- Mid season drainage < Local method 6.86 %
- Multiple aeration < Local method 11.43 %

Net GHGs
- Mid season drainage < Local method 25.86 %
- Multiple aeration < Local method 33.53 %
Scaling factor

Scaling factor: Water management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rice ecosystem</th>
<th>Seasonal emission (g/m²)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>Max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigated Thailand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>55.20</td>
<td>38.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.40</td>
<td>68.20</td>
<td>39.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.80</td>
<td>61.30</td>
<td>44.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>44.29</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>34.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>59.04</td>
<td>9.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>10.02</td>
<td>8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>30.54</td>
<td>22.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>38.10</td>
<td>21.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>59.30</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.608</td>
<td>16.848</td>
<td>10.569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfed Thailand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>32.90</td>
<td>24.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>71.00</td>
<td>41.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep water Thailand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>17.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Reported in Thailand’s First National Communication to UNFCCC (Half) on March, 2000
*b* Official report to TRF
## Scaling factor: water management

### Rice ecosystem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasonal emission (g/m²)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Min.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Max.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuously flooded</td>
<td>12.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple aeration</td>
<td>8.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfed</td>
<td>15.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood prone</td>
<td>1.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep water</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scaling factors:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sub-category</th>
<th>Scaling factors (this study)</th>
<th>Standard emission factor (EF), g/m²/season*a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upland</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0*b</td>
<td>0*c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowland</td>
<td>Irrigated</td>
<td>Continuously flooded</td>
<td>23.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermittently flooded</td>
<td>Single aeration</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple aeration</td>
<td>0.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfed</td>
<td>Flood prone</td>
<td>1.548</td>
<td>35.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought prone</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Water depth 50-100 cm</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>13.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Water depth &gt; 100 cm</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

- Estimation of field experiments listed in Tables 3.
- The only one study conducted in upland systems of Thailand obtained on emission rate of 5.29 g/m²/season [Karnchanasootorn, 1993]. However, emission rates should normally be close to 0 because true upland systems do not create anaerobic conditions for significant periods of time.

---
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## Scaling factor: organic amendment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type &amp; Amount applied (tons/ha)</th>
<th>Estimated Scaling factor</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azolla 0.6250</td>
<td>1.446</td>
<td>Charoensil et al. 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost 6.250</td>
<td>6.515</td>
<td>Charoensil et al. 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compost 2.069</td>
<td>2.250</td>
<td>Wanichpongpun 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Manure 30.000</td>
<td>13.390</td>
<td>Jermsawatdipong et al. 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Manure 12.500</td>
<td>4.312</td>
<td>Charoensil et al. 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice Straw 2.000</td>
<td>12.850</td>
<td>Jermsawatdipong et al. 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice Straw Burned 12.500</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>Charoensil et al. 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice Straw Compost 3.100</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>Jermsawatdipong et al. 1994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Methane emissions (kg/hec/day) from difference soil type and fertilizer applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province Name</th>
<th>Soil series</th>
<th>NF</th>
<th>CF+OM</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathumthani</td>
<td>Rangsit</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratchburi</td>
<td>Nakornpathom</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>3.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surin</td>
<td>Roi Et</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>5.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiangmai</td>
<td>Hang Dong</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>2.595</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks: NF = No fertilizer application, CF = Chemical fertilizer, CF + OM = Chemical and organic fertilizer
Reference: Jermsawatdipong et al., 1993 in Office of Environmental Policy and Planning, 2000b
Thailand  
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Model implementation

- Process model- DNDC site and regional mode  
- Empirical model

Model implementations : soil type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Methane emissions</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Field observed</td>
<td>DNDC</td>
<td>Empirical model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g/m²/yr</td>
<td>g/m²/yr</td>
<td>g/m²/yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratchaburi soil</td>
<td>26.87</td>
<td>29.44</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangkok soil</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singburi soil</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>17.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Methane emissions**  
CH₄ (g/m²/yr)  

**Bar chart**  
- DNDC model (Li et al., 1992)  
- Empirical model (Huang et al., 1998)
Model implementations: fertilizer application

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Field observed</th>
<th>DNDC model</th>
<th>Empirical model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g/m²/yr</td>
<td>g/m²/yr</td>
<td>g/m²/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>10.89</td>
<td>49.17</td>
<td>62.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Sulphate</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>49.94</td>
<td>61.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium phosphate</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>49.94</td>
<td>46.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No top dressing</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>53.75</td>
<td>47.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No fertilizer</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>51.83</td>
<td>24.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DNDC model (Li et al., 1992)
Empirical model (Huang et al., 1998)

Model implementations: drainage system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Field observed</th>
<th>DNDC model</th>
<th>Empirical model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g/m²/yr</td>
<td>g/m²/yr</td>
<td>g/m²/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local method</td>
<td>23.96</td>
<td>18.42</td>
<td>47.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuously flooded</td>
<td>24.36</td>
<td>19.99</td>
<td>36.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid season drainage</td>
<td>51.38</td>
<td>15.51</td>
<td>35.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple drainage</td>
<td>15.68</td>
<td>22.88</td>
<td>40.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DNDC model (Li et al., 1992)
Empirical model (Huang et al., 1998)
Thailand
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Waste sector: Solid Waste Disposal Site (SWDS)

**Tier 1**

**Equation 1**

Methane emissions (Gg/yr)

\[ (\text{MSW}_T \times \text{MSW}_F \times \text{MCF} \times \text{DOC} \times \text{DOC}_F \times F \times 16/12 - R) \times (1-OX) \]

**Tier 2**

\[ \text{LFG} = 2\text{LoR(e+kc - e-kt)} \]

\[ \text{LFG} = \text{Total amount of landfill gas generation in current year (m3/yr)} \]

\[ \text{Lo} = \text{Total methane generation potential of waste (m3/ton)} \]

\[ \text{R} = \text{Average annual waste acceptance rate during active life (ton)} \]

\[ \text{k} = \text{Decay constant for the rate of methane generation (1/yr)} \]

\[ \text{t} = \text{Time since landfill opened (yr)} \]

\[ \text{c} = \text{Time since landfill closure (yr)} \]

---

**Waste Sector: Landfill**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Nakornpathom</th>
<th>Huahin</th>
<th>Suphanburi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambient temp. (°C)</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity (m/s)</td>
<td>0.43-0.45</td>
<td>0.23-0.30</td>
<td>0.31-0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate (m³/s)</td>
<td>0.0075-0.008</td>
<td>0.0018-0.0024</td>
<td>0.0024-0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>37.5-38.6</td>
<td>35.1-36.5</td>
<td>36.6-37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity (% rh)</td>
<td>56.1-56.4</td>
<td>53.6-54.4</td>
<td>54.7-55.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% CH₄</td>
<td>19.21-28.36</td>
<td>4.08-13.74</td>
<td>8.53-13.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% CO₂</td>
<td>12.23-18.38</td>
<td>2.27-8.69</td>
<td>5.10-8.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate (m³/yr)</td>
<td>236,520-252,288</td>
<td>56,765-75,686</td>
<td>75,686-91,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ (m³/yr)</td>
<td>45,438-71,678</td>
<td>2,318-10,399</td>
<td>10,214-15,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total waste in landfill, Flow rate (m³/yr)</td>
<td>977,616</td>
<td>545,574</td>
<td>252,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CH₄ (m³/yr)</td>
<td>234,233</td>
<td>57,545</td>
<td>38,888</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1/ Field measurements
Waste: Landfill

K value (l/yr)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>k (l/yr)</th>
<th>Compaction ration (kg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Municipal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suphanburi</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huahin</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakornpathom</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lo value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Lo (m³/ton)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Municipal</td>
<td>121.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangkok</td>
<td>103.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph showing the relationship between k and compaction ratio]
## Waste: Landfill

Methane emission (Mg/yr) from landfill using actual Lo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Khonkean</td>
<td>132*</td>
<td>364*</td>
<td>1,352</td>
<td>2,422</td>
<td>3,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Chantaburi</td>
<td>36*</td>
<td>73*</td>
<td>1,179</td>
<td>1,840</td>
<td>2,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Chiangrai</td>
<td>56*</td>
<td>120*</td>
<td>1,267</td>
<td>1,932</td>
<td>2,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Chiangmai</td>
<td>508*</td>
<td>898*</td>
<td>3,112</td>
<td>5,305</td>
<td>6,929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Nakompathom</td>
<td>243*</td>
<td>505*</td>
<td>2,240</td>
<td>3,475</td>
<td>4,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Nakornratsima</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>2,976</td>
<td>4,178</td>
<td>5,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Nakornswan</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>1,855</td>
<td>2,834</td>
<td>3,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Udonthani</td>
<td>61*</td>
<td>150*</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>1,942</td>
<td>2,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Phisanulok</td>
<td>86*</td>
<td>191*</td>
<td>1,311</td>
<td>2,109</td>
<td>2,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Songkhla</td>
<td>1,256</td>
<td>2,626</td>
<td>5,804</td>
<td>7,528</td>
<td>8,806</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ใช้วิธีการกำจัดขยะโดยการเทลง ใช้ค่า Lo = 60.7 m3/ton เนื่องจากการกำจัดขยะโดยวิธีการเทลงจะถือว่ามีการเกิดก๊าซฝุ่นสูงกว่าที่มีปริมาณเป็นครึ่งหนึ่งของการกำจัดขยะโดยวิธีการฝุ่นสูง

---

## Waste: Landfill

Methane emission (Mg/yr) from landfill using actual Lo (continuous)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Ubonratchathani</td>
<td>59*</td>
<td>131*</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>1,791</td>
<td>2,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Samutprakarn</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>2,410</td>
<td>4,485</td>
<td>6,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Chonburi</td>
<td>312*</td>
<td>742*</td>
<td>4,128</td>
<td>7,677</td>
<td>9,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Nakornthammarat</td>
<td>212*</td>
<td>407*</td>
<td>1,882</td>
<td>2,934</td>
<td>3,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Phraekirikhan</td>
<td>50*</td>
<td>99*</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td>2,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,848</td>
<td>8,587</td>
<td>33,602</td>
<td>53,867</td>
<td>68,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangkok</td>
<td>12,280</td>
<td>16,180</td>
<td>58,661</td>
<td>43,465</td>
<td>32,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand total</td>
<td>16,128</td>
<td>24,767</td>
<td>92,263</td>
<td>97,332</td>
<td>100,239</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ใช้วิธีการกำจัดขยะโดยการเทลง ใช้ค่า Lo = 60.7 m3/ton เนื่องจากการกำจัดขยะโดยวิธีการเทลงจะถือว่ามีการเกิดก๊าซฝุ่นสูงกว่าที่มีปริมาณเป็นครึ่งหนึ่งของการกำจัดขยะโดยวิธีการฝุ่นสูง
Comparison of methane emissions estimated using Lo and k value from USEPA and actual value
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1 Introduction of National Coordination Committee on Climate Change of China

National Coordination Committee on Climate Change

Division of work of the National Coordination Group:
National Development and Reform Commission:
  Coordination on Climate Change Policies and Actions Adopted by Various Departments;
Ministry of Foreign Affairs:
  Take the Lead for Participating in International Climate Change Negotiation;
State Meteorological Administration:
  Take the Lead for Participating in the Work of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

The Office of the NCCCC
responsible for routine work of the Committee
### Members of the National Coordination Committee on Climate Change are senior officials from:

- Ministry of Finance
- Ministry of Commerce
- Ministry of Agriculture
- Ministry of Construction
- Ministry of Communications
- Ministry of Water Resources
- State Forestry Administration
- Chinese Academy of Science
- State Ocean Administration
- State Environmental Protection Administration
- Chinese Meteorology Administration
- Civil Aviation Administration

### Chairman:
- **Ma Kai**, Chairman of National Development and Reform Commission

### Executive Deputy Chairman:
- **Liu Jiang**, Vice Chairman of National Development and Reform Commission

### Deputy Chairmen:
- **Zhang Yesui**, Deputy Minister, Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- **Deng Nan**, Deputy Minister, Ministry of Science and Technology
- **Qin Dahe**, Administrator, China Meteorological Administration
- **Zhu Guangyao**, Deputy minister, State Environmental Protection Administration

### Members:
- **Li Yong**, Deputy Minister, Ministry of Finance
- **Yi Xiaozhuan**, Assistant Minister, Ministry of Commerce
- **Zhang Baowen**, Deputy Minister, Ministry of Agriculture
- **Qiu Baoxing**, Deputy Minister, Ministry of Construction
- **Hong Shangxiang**, Deputy Minister, Ministry of Communications
- **E Jingping**, Deputy Minister, Ministry of Water Resources
- **Li Yucai**, Deputy Director-General, State Forestry Administration
- **Chen Yiya**, Deputy President, Chinese Academy of Science
- **Chen Lianzeng**, Deputy director general, State Ocean Administration of China
- **Liu Shaoyong**, Deputy director general, Civil Aviation Administration of China
2 Introduction of GEF/UNDP Project-Enabling China to Prepare Its Initial National Communication (ECPINC)

- Project brief
  - Project No.: CPR/00/G31/A/1G/99
  - Project Title: Enabling China to Prepare Its Initial National Communication (ECPINC)
  - Duration: 2 Year and 4 Months
  - Management Arrangement: National Execution
  - Designated Institution: State Development Planning Commission
    (National Development and Reform Commission: )
  - Project Sites: Beijing and Provinces

The project of ECPINC will enable China to fulfill its commitments under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) to communicate to the Conference of Parties to the Convention:

- a national inventory of emissions and sinks of greenhouse gases;
- a general description of steps taken or envisaged by China to implement the Convention;
- any other information China considers relevant and suitable for inclusion in its Communication.

In addition, the project will enable China to strengthen and expand its activities for increasing public and political awareness and action related to climate change.
Immediate Objective

- Preparation of 1994 energy sector inventory
- Preparation of 1994 industrial processes inventory
- Preparation of 1994 agricultural sector inventory
- Preparation of 1994 forestry sector inventory
- Preparation of 1994 municipal waste sector inventory
- Drafting of initial national communication and incorporation into development strategy and processes
- Increased public and political awareness and action related to climate change

Initial National Communications

Energy
- Energy Research Institute

Industrial Processes
- Energy Research Institute

Agriculture
- Institute of Atmospheric Physics

Municipal Waste
- Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Science

Forestry
- China's Academy of Forestry

Project Manager & Coordinator
- draft initial national communications
- Increased public & political awareness
Report by participating experts on technical issues: China
Dr. Gao Qingxian

Preparation of 1994 energy sector inventory

Output 1:
- Estimate of GHG emissions from fossil fuel combustion
Output 2:
- Estimate of methane emissions from Chinese coal mining and post-mining activity
Output 3:
- Estimates for biomass activity level and emissions factors.
Output 4:
- Estimates of methane leaks and fugitive emissions from oil and natural gas systems
Output 5:
- Estimate of China's total methane emissions from energy activity in 1994
Output 6:
- Estimate of China's total GHG emissions from energy activity in 1994 and energy sector inventory

Preparation of 1994 industrial processes inventory

Output 1:
- Estimate of 1994 GHG emissions from cement production
Output 2:
- Estimate of 1994 GHG emissions from lime production
Output 3:
- Estimate of 1994 GHG emissions from iron and steel product
Output 4:
- Estimate of 1994 GHG emissions from calcium carbide production
Output 5:
- Estimate of 1994 GHG emissions from adipic acid production
Output 6:
- Estimate of China's total GHG emissions from industrial processes in 1994
Output 7:
- Capacity built through workshops and international training for improving methodology to prepare inventory
### Preparation of 1994 *agricultural sector* inventory

**Output 1:**
- Estimate of 1994 methane emissions from wetland rice fields

**Output 2:**
- Estimate of 1994 nitrous oxide emission from croplands

**Output 3:**
- Estimate of 1994 methane emissions from enteric fermentation

**Output 4:**
- Estimate of 1994 methane and nitrous oxide emission from animal waste management systems

**Output 5:**
- Workshop held for the agricultural section of the emissions inventory

### Preparation of 1994 *municipal waste sector* inventory

**Output 1:**
- Several individuals trained to assist in activities below related to emissions from municipal solid waste and wastewater

**Output 2:**
- Capacity built through training in measurement and modeling techniques for developing a municipal solid waste and wastewater inventory

**Output 3:**
- Database of items relevant to emissions from municipal solid waste

**Output 4:**
- Estimation of lagged emissions from prior waste handling through the development of a model for this purpose

**Output 5:**
- Estimates of methane emissions from wastewater handling systems

**Output 6:**
- A 1994 inventory of methane emissions from municipal solid waste and wastewater in China
### Drafting of initial national communication and incorporation into development strategy and processes

Output 1:
- A team qualified to draft initial national communications

Output 2:
- Adaptation options and other climate change issues considered and incorporated into the nation's sustainable development strategy

Output 3:
- Initial national communication drafted and approved

### Increased public and political awareness and action related to climate change

Output 1:
- Awareness raising program

Output 2:
- Documentation, media, and workshop to promote awareness and understanding of climate change to a targeted audience through initial awareness raising program

Output 3:
- Report on national long-term strategies for improving public awareness of climate change issues
3 Introduction of Preparing for Inventory of Greenhouse Gas Emission from Municipal Waste Sector

The Reviews of the Previous Studies on CH₄ Emission Inventory
The Uncertainty Analysis
The Problems Encountered

---

The Estimates of Global CH₄ Emissions from Different Waste Sources and Its Percentages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Emission Amount (Tg/yr)</th>
<th>Percentage of Total Emissions from Anthropogenic sources globally (%)</th>
<th>(Tg/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWDSs</td>
<td>20–70</td>
<td>5–20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWHS</td>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>8–11</td>
<td>Industrial: 26–40 Domestic: 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPCC, 1996
The Reviews of the Previous Studies on CH₄ Emission Inventory in China

2. National Research on Chinese Climatic Change (USA)
3. China’s national Response Strategy for Global Climate Change (ADB)
4. Research on greenhouse gas emission and countermeasure in Beijing (Canada)
5. ALGAS (ADB)

The Uncertainty Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>The Problems and Choices Chinese GHGs Control</th>
<th>National Research on Chinese Climate Change</th>
<th>China’s National Response Strategy for Global Climate Change</th>
<th>ALGAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foundation</td>
<td>GEF/WB</td>
<td>US Department of Energy</td>
<td>ADB</td>
<td>ADB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Year</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Values (Mt CH₄)</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>2.5 (2.3 to 2.7)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.6 to 2.0)</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty (Mt CH₄)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6 to 2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Problems encountered during prepare inventory of GHGs Emission

1. Population Statistics Data
2. Data on MSW Generation Rates in China
3. The Disposed Rate of MSW to SWDSs in China
4. Analysis Composition of MSW in China
5. The Degradable Organic Carbon (DOC) Content of Waste
6. Categories of Waste Disposal Sites
7. Other Default Values Recommended by IPCC

Population Statistics data:

In revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventory:

For developed countries the population data is likely to be the total country population;

For developing countries and countries with economies in transition, the population data may be the total urban population only, because the rural population is assumed to dispose of waste in such a way that CH$_4$ emissions are extremely low.

But in China today there are more and more people lived in rural region go into urban areas to seek opportunities to work and live there. From our survey there are about 70 million people from rural worked in urban areas in recent 10 years.
Data on MSW Generation Rates in China:
In revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventory:
Total MSW can be calculated from Population (thousand persons) x Annual MSW generation rate (Gg/thousand persons/yr).

But in China, we have a Municipal Construction Statistic Year Book, which have record of the carrying amount and disposal percentage of municipal waste. With the developing of urbanization, the number of cities increase.

Due to the shortage of manage method, the carrying amount should be modified, through vast investigation on the carrying amount and disposal percentage, the experts group of China concluded that the carrying amount of municipal waste should be multiply a coefficient 0.76.

Considering the real situation of municipal waste collection, there are only 75% municipal waste are carried and treated into disposal sites.

During calculation, the different disposal rate in different region are considered.
Report by participating experts on technical issues: China
Dr. Gao Qingxian

Analysis Composition of MSW in China:

- Organic waste increase rapidly (~50%);
- Inorganic waste decrease (~23.34%);
- Recycle waste increase (~26.6%);
- Combustible waste increase.

The weighted average of carbon content of various components of waste stream:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Tianjin</th>
<th>Beijing</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paper and Textiles</td>
<td>14.08</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>10.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food waste</td>
<td>39.02</td>
<td>37.63</td>
<td>38.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood and straw</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>54.99</td>
<td>49.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components of waste stream</th>
<th>Organic Carbon percentage (Weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood and straw</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food waste</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fresh waste
The Degradable Organic Carbon (DOC) Content of Waste:

In revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventory:

Per Cent DOC (by Weight) = 0.4A + 0.28B + 0.30C + 0.07D

Per cent DOC (by Weight) = 0.4A + 0.28B + 0.30C + 0.07D

Where:
A: paper and textiles
B: garden waste, park waste or other non-food organic putrescibles
C: food waste
D: wood or straw

In our calculation,
Per Cent DOC (by Weight) = 0.26A + 0.28B + 0.30C + 0.07D

Categories of Waste Disposal Sites:

1. NorthEast
2. NorthWest
3. North of China
4. East of China
5. South of China
6. SouthWest
7. Middle of China
In different region, according the scope of the city, we classified the cities of China into 5 types:

- **Super City**: (> 2 Million), there 141 super cities in China and we survey 10 cities of them and got the real data of them;
- **Large City**: (> 1-2 Million), there 23 larger cities in China and we survey 15 cities of them and go to site investigation for 6 larger cities;
- **Big City**: (0.5-1 Million), there 47 big cities in China and we survey 21 cities of them and go to site investigation for 6 big cities;
- **Medium City**: (0.2-0.5 Million), there 159 big cities in China and we survey 39 cities of them and go to site investigation for 11 big cities;
- **Small City**: (< 0.2 Million), there 315 small cities in China and we survey 52 cities of them and go to site investigation for 2 big cities;

For region, To get investigation information of waste and its treatment from 47 cities in East region of China, 42 cities in North of China, 48 cities in West/Middle region of China; To carry out site survey in 15 cities in east region, 10 cities in north region and 10 cities in west/middle region.
Thanks!
Technical Issues Related to the Preparation of the Cambodian GHG inventory: LULUCF

Workshop on Greenhouse Gas Inventories in Asia
13-14 November 2003, Phuket, Thailand

Presented by Thy SUM,
Chief of Climate Change Office,
Ministry of Environment, Phnom Penh, Cambodia.
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I. Brief Introduction to the First Cambodian GHG inventory (1)

- Base year: 1994
- Based on the Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines
- Used IPCC Emission Factors
- Greenhouse gases (GHGs): carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O)

I. Brief Introduction to Cambodian GHG Inventory (2)

- Summary of 1994 Cambodian GHG emissions and uptakes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors and Sinks</th>
<th>CO₂ uptake</th>
<th>CO₂</th>
<th>CH₄</th>
<th>N₂O</th>
<th>NOₓ</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ENERGY</td>
<td>1,272.08</td>
<td>24.13</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>16.69</td>
<td>456.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES</td>
<td>49.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGRICULTURE</td>
<td>339.25</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASTE</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAND USE CHANGE AND FORESTRY</td>
<td>64,850.23</td>
<td>45,214.27</td>
<td>74.77</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>18.58</td>
<td>654.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL NATL GHG EMISSIONS/UPTAKE</td>
<td>64,850.23</td>
<td>46,536.20</td>
<td>444.92</td>
<td>12.35</td>
<td>37.98</td>
<td>1,206.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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III. GHG inventory for LULUCF:

Why improve the GHG inventory in LULUCF?

- Importance of LULUCF in contribution to National GHG inventory (79%)
- The previous estimation may accompany with high uncertainty, due to complexity of biological factors and lack of reliable data
- The new study is aiming at (1) development of local emission factors through field survey, (2) improvement of activity data, and (3) conducting uncertainty analysis

Methodology for improving LULUCF activity data (1)

- Activity data play an important role in the GHG estimation
- The improvement of activity data (land use and forest cover) was done through satellite image analysis
- Cambodia land use is divided into two categories (Wood land and non-wood land)
- These land categories are considered in the preparation of GHG inventory
- In 1998, the total Cambodian forest was about 10.5 Million hectare (58% of the total country land area)
- About 10 million hectare (96%) is dry land forests and 0.5 million hectare (4%) is edaphic forests
Forest classification in Cambodia

III. GHG inventory for LULUCF: Methodology for improving LULUCF activity data (2)

Cambodian forest has been disturbed by human activities, such as logging, shifting cultivation, and conversion to agriculture.

The Forest Cover Assessment of the Department of Forestry and Wildlife was done up to district level.

However, the National GHG inventory for the First National Communication used the national level. For this new study, the estimate was done up to provincial level.

In addition, the previous GHG inventory was done without separation between disturbed and undisturbed forests.
III. GHG inventory for LULUCF: Methodology for improving LULUCF activity data (4)

Forest area (1992-1996)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest type</th>
<th>1992</th>
<th>1996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undisturbed</td>
<td>723468</td>
<td>686672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disturbed</td>
<td>3835474</td>
<td>3817583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undisturbed</td>
<td>123108</td>
<td>119425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disturbed</td>
<td>1734581</td>
<td>1708532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undisturbed</td>
<td>4857745</td>
<td>4773911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disturbed</td>
<td>447314</td>
<td>454915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangrove</td>
<td>77669</td>
<td>72835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inundated</td>
<td>349475</td>
<td>335304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest regrowth</td>
<td>440939</td>
<td>379305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantation</td>
<td>86664</td>
<td>96783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood/strubland</td>
<td>2351735</td>
<td>2286613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>494968</td>
<td>503751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaic cropping</td>
<td>314062</td>
<td>464233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. GHG inventory for LULUCF: Methodology for improving LULUCF activity data (5)

Wood product in 1994 was estimated about 1.5 million m³, excluded the illegal logging and these data were used for national GHG inventory.

The exclusion of illegal logging will probably make the inventory underestimated.

Therefore, for the new study, this data is taken into account.
III. GHG inventory for LULUCF:
Methodology for improving LULUCF activity data (6)

Studies on emission factors are very limited in Cambodia. These include aboveground biomass and mean annual biomass growth rate.

In this study, the improvement of these data was carried out through literature review and field survey.

The survey was done in 7 sites (14 plots) in 4 different provinces. The size of sample plot is 200 m².

The ABOVEGROUND BIOMASS was estimated based on data on volume over bark (VOB), biomass expansion factor (BFF) and biomass density.

The MEAN ANNUAL INCREMENT was measured based on the measurement of diameter of tree in two different time.
III. GHG inventory for LULUCF: Methodology for improving emission factors (2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plot</th>
<th>Understorey(^1) (t/ha)</th>
<th>Necromas(^2) (t/ha)</th>
<th>Live tree biomass(^3) (t/ha)</th>
<th>Total AGB (1+2+3) (t/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (Semi-evergreen)</td>
<td>7.0 (4.5)</td>
<td>66.62</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (Semi-evergreen)</td>
<td>7.1 (4.7)</td>
<td>89.92</td>
<td>117</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (Mangrove)</td>
<td>13.5 (3.2)</td>
<td>75.75</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (Mangrove)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>198.34</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (Coniferous)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>96.93</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (Coniferous)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.3)</td>
<td>54.18</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G (Inundated forest)</td>
<td>6.9 (9.1)</td>
<td>28.72</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H (Inundated forest)</td>
<td>6.6 (9.5)</td>
<td>53.64</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (Secondary forest)</td>
<td>6.4 (5.1)</td>
<td>48.51</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J (Secondary forest)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84.52</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (Rubber)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L (Rubber)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.0)</td>
<td>139.57</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (Teak)</td>
<td>6.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>109.57</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (Teak)</td>
<td>6.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>148.07</td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not: Values in the bracket is standard deviations and calculated from field survey, (2) estimated from diameter using allometric equation.

III. GHG inventory for LULUCF: Methodology for improving emission factors (3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest types</th>
<th>Initial NatCom</th>
<th>Estimated from Survey data</th>
<th>Other studies</th>
<th>Used in this study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td>295(^4)</td>
<td>150(^6)</td>
<td>200(^6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (Semi evergreen)</td>
<td>370(^4)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous</td>
<td>120(^4)</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Regrowth</td>
<td>190(^4)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inundated</td>
<td>70(^4)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangrove</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantation</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubland</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Forest/Agroforestry</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>30-207(^7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood-Shrubland</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood-Shrubland dry</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood-Shrubland Inundated</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaic of cropping &lt;30%</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaic of cropping &gt;30%</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>2-7.6(^8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 1IPCC (1997); 2 FAO (1997); 3Kiyono and Hastaniah (1997); 4Wasrin et al., (2000); 5Utomo (1996); 6Tomich et al. (1998); 7 Van Noordwijk et al., (2000); 8Murdiyarso & Wasrin (1996); 9Palm et al., (1999); 10Hairiah and Sitompul (2000). Note: ~ means around that value.
III. GHG inventory for LULUCF: Methodology for improving emission factors (4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plot</th>
<th>Forest type</th>
<th>GRB (t/ha/year)</th>
<th>Plot</th>
<th>Forest type</th>
<th>GRB (t/ha/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Semi evergreen</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Inundated forest</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Semi evergreen</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Secondary Forest</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Mangrove</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>Secondary Forest</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Mangrove</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Rubber plantation</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Coniferous</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Rubber plantation</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Coniferous</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tectona grandis</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Inundated forest</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Tectona grandis</td>
<td>6.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The estimates were the estimate of the biomass growth rate in the inventory year (2002).

III. GHG inventory for LULUCF: Methodology for improving emission factors (5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest type</th>
<th>Initial NatCom (t/ha/year)</th>
<th>Estimated from survey data (t/ha/year)</th>
<th>Other studies (t/ha/year)</th>
<th>Used in this study (t/ha/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.71-2.90</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (Semi-evergreen)</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>1.71-2.90</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>0.17-2.20</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Regrowth</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.2-3.3</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inundated</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangrove</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantation (rubber)</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>3.3-3.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubland</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Forest/Agroforestry</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood-Shrubland Evergreen</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood-Shrubland Dry</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood-Shrubland Inundated</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaic of cropping&lt;35%</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaic of cropping &gt;35%</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bamboo</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>n.a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 1 IPCC (1997); 2 FAO (1997); 3 LEAP RWEDP (1997); 4 Lasco and Pulhin (1999); 5 Boer et al., (2001); 6 UNDP-ESMAP (1992); 7 Ashwell (in Nophea, undated); 8 Logged over forest (Boer et al., 2001); 9 Sutthita (1997), and 10 Askari (2000).
III. GHG inventory for LULUCF: Result of GHG inventory (1)

- GHG inventory for forestry sector in each province was estimated up to provincial level
- Koh Kong Province is the highest CO2 emitter, while Mondol Kiri province is the highest C-sequestration
- The error of estimate of CO2 emission is ranged between 1%-22%, while the CO2 sequestration estimate ranges between 16%-38%
- In term of CO2-eqv, more than half of Cambodian province were a net emitters
- In comparison with the National GHG inventory reported in the National Communication, the improved inventory gave lower estimate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CO2</th>
<th>CH4</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>N2O</th>
<th>NOx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>-39,451.609</td>
<td>31,562.585</td>
<td>28,984</td>
<td>253,610</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NatCom</td>
<td>-64,850.230</td>
<td>45,214.270</td>
<td>74,770</td>
<td>654,200</td>
<td>0.510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% Change of Natcom 39.2 30.2 61.2 61.2 60.9 61.
IV. Conclusion and recommendations

- The area of forests and area being converted and above ground biomass and annual growth rate of tree play the most important role that will determine greatly the accuracy of GHG inventory.

- The improvement of the GHG inventory was made in 3 areas: forest area and rate of conversion, biomass growth rate, and level of analysis.

- However, the aboveground biomass and biomass growth rate estimated from field survey will not represent the overall condition of Cambodia forest.

- Further survey should be done for improving these factors.
Methodologies for preparation of Inventories for India
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Components of India’s Initial NATCOM

**NATCOM**

- **GHG inventory**
  - GHG inventory (IE)
  - Uncertainty Reduction (UR)
  - Enabling Activity Data Center (EADC)

- **Capacity building**
  - Training and consultative workshops
  - Modeling efforts
  - Strengthening measurement capabilities
  - Targeted Research (TR)

- **Steps to implement the convention**
  - Assessment of Vulnerability & Adaptation (VA)
  - Policy options for sustainable development & monitoring systems
  - Public awareness through public & mass media

---

Project Implementation Arrangements

- **Implementing and Executing agency**
  - Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF)

- **National Steering Committee**
  - (MoEF, other ministries, UNDP)

- **National Project Director**

- **Technical Advisory Committee**
  - (Eminent Indian Experts covering various climate change issues)

- **Project Management Cell**
  - (Located at Winrock International India, Delhi)

- **GHG Inventory**
- **Other information**
- **Steps to implement the convention**
- **Institutes for various activities (expertise, broad based, capacity building)**

**Compilation of the initial communication**
Approach to NATCOM Preparation

- Broad based participatory approach for
  - Development of comprehensive inventory of GHGs for 1994
  - Improve its reliability vis-a-vis reducing uncertainties of GHG emission coefficients in key source categories (IPCC guidelines and methodologies)
  - Vulnerability assessment and adaptation of various sectors to climate change
- Identification of key steps to implement the Convention
- Capacity Building and networking of Institutions through meetings, workshops (training, awareness and thematic) and publications

IE: Institutional Arrangement

[Diagram showing institutional arrangement]
IE: Geographical Institutional Distribution

Some Imp. Ref. for Activity Data - Energy and Trans.


### Some Imp. Ref. for Activity Data - Energy and Trans.

- **MoR (Various years 1980 to 2000),** *Annual Statistical Statement.* Ministry of Railways (Railway Board), Government of India, New Delhi.
- **MoCA (Various years 1994-95 to 2000-01),** *Annual Report.* Ministry of Civil Aviation, Government of India, New Delhi.
- **SAIL (various years 1998 to 2000),** *Statistics for Iron and Steel Industry in India.* Parliament and Coordination Section, Steel Authority of India, New Delhi.
- **ESI (Various years 1994 to 2001),** *Economic Survey of India.* Ministry of Finance, Government of India, New Delhi, India.
India
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References for Activity Data - Industrial Process

CMIE (1993). Trends in Industrial Production of over 2000 Products / Product Groups (1982 to 1992), Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy, Pg 8, Pg 26
CMIE (2001) Industry Market Size and Shares, Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy, Pg 164-165
CMIE Prowess database

References for Activity Data - Agriculture

MoA (Various years 1994-95 to 2000-01), Annual Reports. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi, India.
MoA (2000), Costs of Cultivation of Principal Crops in India. Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
FAI (1996 and 1997), Fertilizer and Allied Agricultural Statistics (Northern Region). Fertilizer Association of India, New Delhi, India.
References for Activity Data - Agriculture

MoEF, State of Forest Report 2001, Forest Survey of India


MoA (Various years 1994-95 to 2000-01), Annual Reports. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi, India.


References for Activity Data - Waste

Census of India, 1991 and 2001, GOI


MoEF (1997), Status of Water Supply and Wastewater Collection, Generation, Treatment and Disposal in Class I & II Cities, Central Pollution Control Board, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India, New Delhi, India.


References for Activity Data - Waste


Good Practice Guidelines

The Indian NATCOM has adopted Quality Control and Quality Assurance practices to the extent possible.

- Data verification from alternate sources
- Going one level deeper
- Review by Indian experts not part of inventory preparation
- Inventory validation at three national workshops
Uncertainty Reduction in Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Statistical Definition: An uncertainty is a parameter, associated with the result of measurement that characterises the dispersion of the values that could be reasonably attributed to the measured quantity (e.g. the sample variance or coefficient of variation).

Inventory definition: A general and imprecise term which refers to the lack of certainty (in inventory components) resulting from any causal factor such as unidentified sources and sinks, lack of transparency etc.

Source: IPCC Good Practice Guidelines

- Top down and bottom up estimates of national activity data have variations due to aggregation errors
- Existing activity data reporting formats are not meant for inventory reporting purposes
- IPCC default emission coefficients may not be representative of India specific coefficients
- Regional and sectoral variability exists in emission coefficients across a large country like India
- Wide technology diversity complicates estimation of India specific estimates (new and vintage technologies co-exist)
- Methodological issues
Possible Reasons for Variation in Some Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient type</th>
<th>Possible reasons for variation of Indian coefficients from IPCC default values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ from Municipal Solid Waste</td>
<td>Waste composition, waste collection levels and mechanisms, dump management, reduction technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ from coal combustion</td>
<td>Coal composition, boiler/combustion efficiency, regional variations across the country, coal definition issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial process emissions</td>
<td>Technological variability in level and extent of control processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ from enteric fermentation</td>
<td>Thinner cattle, not so rich feed type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ from rice paddy cultivation</td>
<td>Irrigation practices, fertilizer and soil types in India are not conducive to high CH₄ production</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Uncertainties in Inventory Estimates

Uncertainties can be resolved through:

- Examination of Activity Data
- Development of Indigenous Emission Coefficients
Key Source Categories: Energy & Transformation sector

Uncertainty in Activity data & emission coeff.
- Road Transport
  - Car/ taxi
  - 2W/3W
  - MCV/HCV
  - LCV

Uncertainty in NCV & CO\textsubscript{2} emission coeff.
- Coal Combustion
  - Coking coal
  - Non coking
  - Lignite

Uncertainty in CH\textsubscript{4} emission coeff.
- Coal mining
  - During mining
    - Surface mining
    - Degree 1
    - Degree 2
    - Degree 3
  - Post Mining
    - Surface mining
    - Degree 1
    - Degree 2
    - Degree 3

Key Source Categories: Industrial Process sector

- Cement production
- Lime production
- Lime stone and dolomite use
- Ammonia production
- Nitric acid production
Key Source Categories: Agriculture sector

- **Rice Cultivation**
  - Upland
  - Rain fed (Flood Prone)
  - Rain fed (Drought Prone)
  - Irrigated (Continuously Flooded)
  - Intermittently Flooded-Single Aeration
  - Intermittently Flooded-Multiple Aeration
  - Deep Water

- **Enteric Fermentation**
  - Cattle
    - Dairy
  - Non-Dairy
    - Below 1yr
    - 1-3 yrs
    - Others
  - Cross bred
    - Dairy
  - Non-dairy
    - Below 1yr
    - 1-3 yrs.
    - Others
  - Buffalo
    - Dairy
  - Non-Dairy
    - Below 1yr
    - 1-3 yrs.

- **Manure Management**
  - Dairy Cattle
    - Indigenous
    - Cross bred
  - Non Dairy Cattle indigenous
    - Below 1yr
    - 1 to 3 yrs
    - Adults
  - Non Dairy Cattle Cross Bred
    - Below 1yr
    - 1 to 2.5 yrs.
    - Adults
    - Dairy Buffaloes
  - Non Dairy Buffaloes
    - Below 1 yr
    - 1 to 3 yrs.
    - Adults

- **Crop Residue**
  - Residue to crop ratio
    - Rice
    - Wheat
    - Maize
    - Millet
    - Jute
    - Cotton
    - Groundnut
    - Sugarcane
    - Rapeseed and Mustard
Key Source Categories: Agriculture sector (Contd.)

➡️ Soils

- EF1 (fraction of N input kg N2O-N/kg N)
- EF2 (organic soil kg N2O-N ha/yr)
- EF4 (Nitrogen deposition) kg N2O-N/kg NH3-N and Nox-N emitted
- EF5 (leached/run-off N from fertilizer and manure) kg N2O-N/kg N leaching/run-off
- Frac.GASF (gas loss through volatilization from inorganic fertilizer) kg NH3-N + Nox-N/kg of synthetic fertilizer N applied
- Frac.GASM (gas loss through volatilization from manure) kg NH3-N + Nox-N/kg of N excreted by livestock
- Frac.leach (Leaching loss of N from applied fertilizer and manure) kg N/kg fertilizer or manure N

Key Source Categories: Land Use, Land Use Change & Forestry

➡️ Changes in forest and other woody biomass
➡️ Annual forest and grass land conversion
➡️ Abandonment of managed lands
➡️ CO2 emission or uptake from soils
Key Source Categories: Waste

➤ Municipal Solid Waste: Okhla

Uncertainty Reduction: Emission Coefficients Measurements

- Coal \( \text{CO}_2 \)
- Power and Steel (Coal) \( \text{CO}_2 \)
- Road transport \( \text{CO}_2, \text{N}_2\text{O} \)
- Biomass burning \( \text{CH}_4, \text{N}_2\text{O} \)
- Cement, Nitric acid, Lime \( \text{CO}_2, \text{N}_2\text{O}, \text{CH}_4 \)
- Enteric fermentation in animals \( \text{CH}_4 \)
- Manure management \( \text{CH}_4, \text{N}_2\text{O} \)
- Rice paddy cultivation \( \text{CH}_4 \)
- Soils \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \)
- Municipal Solid Waste \( \text{CH}_4 \)
### Emission Coefficient: Research Methodologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector/ Source</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Road transport                      | Emission coefficient determined by exhaust gases sampling through constant volume methodology. Vehicles are tested using Chassis dynamometer assembly.  
                                        | CO₂ and CO - using non-dispersive infrared absorption type CO₂ analyser;  
                                        | HC - using Flame Ionization detector type analyser;  
                                        | NOₓ – using chemiluminescent (CLA) type analyser                                                                                                   |
| Calorific values of Indian coals    | Assessment of NCV and GCV of various Indian coals such as Coking, Bituminous and Lignite based on their moisture, carbon and hydrogen contents                                                                |
| Coal mining                         | CH₄ emission measurements using Haldane Mine Air Analysis Apparatus and gas chromatographs. Chamber method used for the first time in India for open cast mine measurements                                |

### Research Methodologies (Contd.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector/ Source</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Coal combustion in power plants     | CO₂ Emission factor estimates through primary data collection on fuel feed rate, quality parameters, sampling of coal, fly and bottom ash and Direct measurement of gases at different stack heights. Analysis using gas chromatographs with standard gas samples.  
                                        | Suspended Particulate Matter - The Whatman glass fibre filter paper  
                                        | Respirable Suspended Particulate Matter - The Whatman glass fibre filter paper  
                                        | Sulphur Dioxide – Sodium Tetrachloromercurate method  
                                        | Nitrogen Dioxide – Sodium Hydroxide method  
                                        | Ambient CO₂ and Photosynthesis rate – Portable Photosynthesis System  
                                        | Leaf Area – Leaf Area Meter                                                                                                                      |
| Coal combustion in steel plants     | CO₂ Emission factor estimated through primary data collection on quantity and type of fuel consumption, quantity of reducing agents, carbon in ore, pig iron and steel, production of pig iron and steel along with direct measurement of flue gas. Analysis using gas chromatographs with standard gas samples. |
## Research Methodologies (Contd.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector/ Source</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coal Combustion in cement plants</td>
<td>CO₂ Emission factor estimates through primary data collection on raw material consumption, its composition, content of clinker and CaO, limestone content, cement kiln dust and direct measurement of gases for Dry, Semi-Dry and Wet Technologies. Analysis using gas chromatographs with standard gas samples.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Research Methodologies: Industrial Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector/ Source</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitric acid production</td>
<td>Analysis of N₂O samples using Portable Infra Red gas analyzer collected from Selective catalytic reduction (SCR), at feed to SCR, at feed to Non-SCR, and from Non-SCR at stack levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime production</td>
<td>Based on lime production data and standard IPCC methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement production</td>
<td>CO₂ emission coefficient derived from the analysis of CaO and MgO in raw material, clinker, and finished cement samples. Analysis is carried out by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Research Methodologies: Agriculture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector/ Source</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rice cultivation</td>
<td>Collection of CH$_4$ samples at different types of fields with different water regimes, amendments, cultivars for the entire one year. Analysis using gas chromatographs with standard gas samples.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteric fermentation</td>
<td>Determination of emission factor of CH$_4$ through Measurement of CH$_4$ due to enteric fermentation in dairy cows. Estimate of CH$_4$ emission factors using activity data on feed intake, feed energy, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Research Methodology: LULUCF

Based on literature survey and appropriate for Indian plantation types
Research Methodologies: Waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector/ Source</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Solid Waste</td>
<td>Actual $\text{CH}_4$ measurement at one landfill site in Delhi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimation of waste water generated per category of industry; maximum methane production capacity and methane emission factor per kg of COD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Workshops conducted

- Workshop on Good Practices in Inventory Development (Nov 27-30, 2001), New Delhi
- Seminar on Reducing Uncertainties in Inventory Estimates (November 28, 2001), New Delhi
- Workshop on Inventory Development (December 3-5, 2001), Ahmedabad
- National Communication Workshop on LULUCF Scoping (February 7-8, 2002), Bangalore
- Finalization of Emission Coefficients (March 4-5, 2003), New Delhi
- Finalization of GHG Emission Inventories (March 27, 2003), New Delhi
- Finalization of GHG Emission Inventories from Agriculture sector (April 2, 2003), Delhi
- Finalization of GHG inventory in LULUCF Sector (May 6-7, 2003), Dehradun
Conclusions

- **Activity Data**
  - Robustness
  - Uncertainty Reduction
  - Depth
  - Completeness

- **Emission Factors**
  - Some key source categories
  - Sampling plan
  - Calibration
  - Reproducibility
Development of National GHG Inventory: INDONESIA

Rizaldi Boer (Bogor Agricultural University)
E-mail: rboer@fmipa.ipb.ac.id
Gunardi (Ministry of Environment)
E-mail: gunardi@menlh.go.id

Outline of Presentation

• Overview
• National System for Developing National GHG Inventory
• Effort to improve the inventory
• Global program for improving GHG inventory
National GHG Inventory

• Each non-Annex I Party shall communicate to the COP a national inventory of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of all GHGs not controlled by the Montreal Protocol, to the extent its capacities permit (Article 4.1a and Article 12 .1a)

• Non-Annex I Parties shall estimate national GHG inventories for the year 1994 for the initial national communication or alternatively may provide data for the year 1990. For the second national communication, non-Annex I Parties shall estimate national GHG inventories for the year 2000. The LDC Parties could estimate their national GHG inventories for years at their discretion.
National GHG Inventory

- Non-Annex I Parties should use the Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for national GHG Inventories.
- Parties may use different methods (tiers) included in the Guidelines, giving priority to those methods which are believed to produce the most accurate estimates, depending on national circumstances and availability of data. As encouraged by the IPCC Guidelines, Parties can also use national methodologies where they consider these to be better able to reflect their national situation, provided that these methodologies are consistent, transparent and well documented.

1994 INDONESIAN GHG INVENTORY
Indonesia
Dr. Rizaldi Boer

Problems

- National system for developing GHG inventory has not been well developed.
  - No effective and efficient system for managing activity data of related sectors for the development of the inventory
  - No system for checking the reliability of the inventory
  - Most of emission factors used are IPCC default values. However, data on these are becoming more available especially for some sectors (e.g. agriculture-rice paddy and LUCF)
- The certainty level of the estimates for most sectors were considered low, in particular LUCF.

The use of IPCC Default Values in Developing the 1994 GHG Inventory

Most of local values were based on expert Judgments
Indonesia
Dr. Rizaldi Boer

The Difference of CO2 emission estimate between ALGAS and NatCom Report

CO2 emission estimate from LUCF from three studies
Indonesia
Dr. Rizaldi Boer
Indonesia
Dr. Rizaldi Boer

EFFORTS TO IMPROVE THE INVENTORY

- ENERGY SECTOR
- INDUSTRIAL SECTOR
- AGRICULTURE SECTOR
  - Rice Paddy
  - Livestock
  - Agriculture Soils
- FORESTRY SECTOR
- WASTE

ENERGY AND INDUSTRIAL SECTOR

- Level of certainty of the activity data from energy and industrial sector are considered as high in comparison to non-energy sectors, as most data published in the National Statistics of these sectors were from private companies which have good data management system.
- Efforts to develop local emission factors for these sectors are not recorded as well as for waste
Improvement of Emission Factors

• Methane for Rice Paddy:
  – Ample research activities on mineral soils conducted by Research Agencies in collaboration with IRI
  – Limited number of research activities on organic soils (Bogor Agricultural University, JSPS-Hokkaido University and Univ of Gottingen-Germany).

Indonesia has about 22 millions ha of peat land and will be used for agriculture development.

Some of Research Results

Means and standard deviation of CH₄ and CO₂ emissions rates from rice field in inland, transitional and coastal peat soils of Central Kalimantan (mg m⁻² h⁻¹)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gases</th>
<th>Age of crops</th>
<th>Berengbengkel (inland)</th>
<th>Sampit (transitional)</th>
<th>Samuda (coastal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Stdev</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Stdev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>0 WAP</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>6.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 WAP</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>6.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>0 WAP</td>
<td>66.61</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>61.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 WAP</td>
<td>74.60</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>72.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Improvement of Emission Factors

• Methane for livestock
  – Limited number of research activities on developing methane EF from Rumen. Small number of research activities is ongoing at IPB (Bogor Agricultural University)

• N$_2$O from Agriculture Soils
  – Limited number of research activities on developing N$_2$O EF from agriculture soils. (Agriculture Research Agencies, Impact Centre for Southeast Asia)
FORESTRY SECTOR

Priority data domains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Converted forest area per forest type</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Growth rate of forest and vegetation types (including plantations)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest typology (biomass-based, floristic, ecology, climatic, administrative)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood harvest (legal + illegal, half-life time by use)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass of each forest and vegetation type</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root biomass per vegetation / land use land cover type</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood to biomass expansion factor, allometrics</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandoned land: area + growth rate (increment)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil C stock (including organic soils + LU impacts)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-site (in situ) burning</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FORESTRY SECTOR

Approaches to Estimate MAI, Aboveground Biomass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter class (D in cm)</th>
<th>Mean number of stems/ha</th>
<th>Volume of stem (V in m³)¹</th>
<th>Total Volume of stem (m³/ha)</th>
<th>Diameter after growing (Dg in cm)²</th>
<th>Volume of stem after growing (V in m³)³</th>
<th>Total Volume of stem (m³/ha)⁴</th>
<th>Volume increment (m³ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)⁵</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)=(2)x(3)</td>
<td>(5)=(1)+Dg</td>
<td>(6)=(7)=(2)x(6)</td>
<td>(8)=(7)+(4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>249.4</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>24.91</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.50</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>34.93</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.50</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>1.662</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>44.92</td>
<td>1.704</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.50</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>2.831</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>54.90</td>
<td>2.887</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.50</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.407</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>64.92</td>
<td>4.484</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.464</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>70.47</td>
<td>5.560</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Allometric equation for estimating volume of wood is V=0.00007771D².²⁶⁷, and
²Dg=0.000006D³−0.0008D²+0.0335D−0.0178 (R²=48%).³ Using BEF of 1.5 (Ruhiyat, 1995) and
wood density of 0.6, the mean annual biomass increment of logged-over forest was about 5.9 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.
Another approaches

- $\text{MAI}_{\text{LoF}} = ((WV_{VF} - WV_{LoF})/\text{Rotation}) \times \text{WD} \times \text{BEF}$
  - wood volume of virgin ($WV_{VF}$) and logged-over ($WV_{LoF}$) forests
  - WD wood density and BEF Biomass expansion factor (1.5 for natural forest: Ruhiyat, 1995)

- $\text{MAI} = (\text{SY} \times \text{CF} \times \text{BEF})/(\text{Age of stand})$
  - SY stand yield in m$^3$
  - CF correction factor: ratio between stand yield table and observed data collected through forest inventory

- Allometric equations: To estimate volume of wood (database) and total biomass

Uncertainty Analysis: Jambi case in 1992

- $x = \text{Emission}$

AD

EF
Level of uncertainty depending on
– the complexity of LULUCF (number of land use categories)
– size of area under study
– resolution of images ~ area estimates of LULUCF
– method of averaging MAI, Biomass density (non-weighted or weighted mean)
Future Works

- Assessing the impact of changing resolution of satellite image on:
  - area estimates
  - above ground biomass estimates ~ allometric equations, expansion factor (rules: as simple as possible)
  - Level of uncertainty of C-emission and C-uptake estimates ~ cost effectiveness
- Development of model for estimating MAI from available information such as LQI (soil+climate information) ~ e.g. Patterson Index
- Development of more effective and efficient procedures for estimating AD and EF
Indonesia
Dr. Rizaldi Boer

UNDP-GEF Enabling Activity: Regional Proposal for Improving GHG Inventory (Pending ?)

• Giving greater attention to procedures for selecting and prioritising emission factors and other appropriate data required for the inventory;
• Placing more emphasis for identifying and testing cost-effective methods for data collecting appropriate to national circumstances;
• Giving priority to publishing research on emission factors so that the results can be validated and contribute to the IPCC process;
• Considering ways of establishing and strengthening national institutional arrangements for archiving and updating national inventories;
• Strengthening data sharing and information exchange of regional data through workshops and regional centres;
• Developing an integrated training package that considers all aspects of data collection, including incentives for their collection, data management and other procedural matters related to data quality.

PDF Component Activities:

• Phase I
  • Assessment of current information taken from inventories of initial National Communications and data gathered through the Support Programme
    – Development of a procedure for selecting and prioritizing emission factors and data to be improved from among different sectors
    – Assessment of cost of different methods of data collection and management
    – Development of consensus for the elements of a common approaches for implementation such as reducing uncertainty and common bias, procedure for selecting and prioritizing data for collection etc.
• Phase II
  – Testing and revising the common approach before implementation
Global Program

• **Component 1: Emission factors and appropriate data gathering.** Criteria under the common approach:
  - magnitude and contribution of GHG emissions and removals for a given source or sink at the national level;
  - the sensitivity of the calculation estimates to the proposed data, including an assessment of the extent to which the uncertainty of the estimate will be improved through more accurate emission factors and other data;
  - the relevance of the source/sink and the sector of the inventory to meet national priorities;
  - the feasibility of implementing abatement measures, including technology transfer, for a given sector;
  - the availability of low-cost data collection methods, including standard or internationally-accepted methods.

Global Program

• **Component 2: Strengthen national arrangements for archiving, updating and managing of greenhouse gas inventories.** Specific Activities for this component:
  - archiving of relevant national data (i.e., activity data, emission factors, conversion factors) for several years;
  - identifying data sources and national experts that have been involved in inventory preparation in a national database;
  - periodic updating of inventories in a cost-efficient manner;
  - comparing inventories across years in order to identify trends in emissions and removals;
  - documenting the selection process of national activity data, emission factors, and other conversion factors used in inventory preparation process;
  - documenting methodologies and assumptions used; and
  - validating conversion of units and other data.
Global Program

• **Component 3: Training for the implementation of good practices for preparing national inventories and dissemination of the underlying data.** There are three main activities in this component
  – Those which address scientific methodology, such as the IPCC Guidelines and Good Practices;
  – Disseminate data under Component 1
  – Relate to institutional structures and data management under Component 2

• **Key features of national data arrangements include:**
  – A flexible system taking into account national circumstances as well as the requirements of UNFCCC and IPCC guidelines.
  – National experts would be responsible for the information entered into the national data system. Records of any changes to the system would be registered.
CH$_4$ Emission from Korean Landfills: Application of Tier 1

Seungdo Kim
Associate Professor
Dept. of Env. System Eng.
Hallym University

Research Necessities for GHG inventories in Korea

- Generation Rate of GHG in Korea:
  11$^{th}$ highest in the world
  ✔ Collection of reliable emission data of GHG
    • Necessity for developing reliable estimation methods of GHG from various emission sources

- CH$_4$ emission from Landfill
  ✔ Difficulty in estimating CH$_4$ emission correctly because of temporal variation of landfill conditions
Recent Works regarding CH\textsubscript{4} Emission from Landfill in Korea

- Estimation of **Korean specific emission factor** and **key parameters** for Tier 1

- Modification of Tier 2 method to reflect the Korean Landfill situations
  - Estimation of **methane generation constant** for Korean Landfill MSW (Municipal Solid Wastes)

Questions to be answered

- Are the emission results accurate?
- What is the accuracy level to be used as national emission data?

What does make it difficult to estimate the emission rate of CH\textsubscript{4} from landfill?
Korea
Dr. Seungdo Kim

Emission from Landfill: Extraction well + Surface

![Diagram of emission from landfill]

Decision Tree

1. Are waste disposal activity data obtainable for the current inventory year?
   - YES: Estimate CH4 emissions using the First Order Decay (FOD) method
   - NO: Are waste disposal activity data available for previous years?
     - YES: Use IPCC default values, per capita or other methods to estimate activity data
     - NO: Is this a key source category (Note 1)?
       - NO: Estimate CH4 emissions using the IPCC default method
       - YES: Obtain or estimate data on historical changes in solid waste disposal
Default Method : Tier 1

- **Assumption**
  - MSW landfilled in a year would be converted **completely** into CH₄ which would be emitted from the landfill in the same year

- **Limitation to application**
  - Only applicable for landfills demonstrating constant quality and quantity of MSW with respect to time

Estimation Equation for Tier 1

\[
CH_4 = (MSW_T \times MSW_F \times L_0 - R) \times (1 - OX)
\]

- \( MSW_T \) = Total MSW generated (Gg/yr)
- \( MSW_F \) = Fraction of MSW disposed at Landfills
- \( L_0 \) = Methane generation potential (Gg CH₄/Gg waste)
  - \( L_0 = MCF \times DOC \times DOC_F \times F \times 16/12 \)
- \( MCF \) = Methane Correction Factor (fraction)
- \( DOC \) = Degradable organic carbon (fraction)
- \( DOC_F \) = Fraction DOC dissimilated
- \( F \) = Fraction by volume of CH₄ in landfill gas
- \( R \) = Recovered CH₄ (Gg/year)
- \( OX \) = Oxidation factor (fraction)
<Key Parameters of Tier 1>

(1) Landfilled amount of MSW : $MSW_L$
(2) DOC
(3) $DOC_F$
(4) MCF
(5) $R$
(6) $OX$

Flow diagram for estimation of $L_0$

- Determine yearly landfill amount of MSW
- $MSW_L = MSW_T \times MSW_F$
- Determine DOC content of each composition of MSW
- Determine $DOC_F$ of each composition of MSW
- Determine $F$
- Determine MCF
- Determine $CH_4$ fraction in LFG
- Sanitary or non-sanitary Landfill
Properness of Key Parameters used in the Tier 1 calculations

- **MSWL** (Landfilled amount in a specific year)
  - Using yearly reports of National Generation and Treatment Statistics of solid wastes reported by the MOE of Korea

- **DOC** (Degradable Organic Carbon)
  - Development of Korean specific DOC estimation equation
    
    \[
    \text{DOC(\%)} = 0.114 \times \text{FW} + 0.320 \times \text{PA} + 0.366 \times \text{WO} + 0.571 \times \text{RU} \\
    + 0.061 \times \text{SL} + 0.114 \times \text{AN} + 0.285 \times \text{OT}
    \]

- **DOCF** (Fraction DOC Dissimilated)
  - Default value suggested by IPCC : 0.5—0.6
    - Using the average value : 0.55
  - Comparison with other results
    - EPA : 0.584
    - Metropolitan landfill in Korea : 0.596

- **MCF** (Methane Correction Factor)
  - IPCC suggested default values
    - Sanitary landfill : 1.0
    - Non-sanitary landfill : 0.4—0.8
  - Using 1.0
    - Most landfills recently constructed in Korea are sanitary landfills


R (Recovery Ratio of Methane)

- Difficulty in estimating the recovery ratio
- Using 13% which was reported by a previous study
- Necessity to estimate the accurate R
  - Extensive research would be required

OX (Oxidation Factor)

- IPCC default value
  - Sanitary: 0.1
  - Non-sanitary: 0.0
- Using 0.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>DOC(%)</th>
<th>( L_0 ) (ton CH(_4)/ton waste)</th>
<th>Generation rate of CH(_4) (ton/yr)</th>
<th>Emission rate of CH(_4) (ton/yr)</th>
<th>TCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>0.04657</td>
<td>1,427,269</td>
<td>1,117,450</td>
<td>6,399,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>13.26</td>
<td>0.04862</td>
<td>1,598,714</td>
<td>1,251,679</td>
<td>7,168,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>11.40</td>
<td>0.04180</td>
<td>1,328,532</td>
<td>1,040,146</td>
<td>5,957,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>0.03538</td>
<td>1,473,674</td>
<td>1,153,782</td>
<td>6,608,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>9.58</td>
<td>0.03513</td>
<td>978,054</td>
<td>765,747</td>
<td>4,385,641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td>0.03318</td>
<td>754,556</td>
<td>590,764</td>
<td>3,383,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>0.03355</td>
<td>855,382</td>
<td>669,703</td>
<td>3,835,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>0.03014</td>
<td>814,805</td>
<td>637,934</td>
<td>3,653,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>0.03348</td>
<td>744,693</td>
<td>583,041</td>
<td>3,339,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>0.03703</td>
<td>711,649</td>
<td>557,170</td>
<td>3,191,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>0.03359</td>
<td>622,927</td>
<td>487,752</td>
<td>2,793,489</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

- Key parameters for Tier 1 were estimated to accommodate the characteristics of MSW landfilled in Korea
  - Korean Specific Emission Factor is determined for Tier 1
    - Need to estimate DOC_f and R

- According to the Tier 1, the emission rate of CH_4 from Korean landfills in 2000 was 2,793,489 TCE, which represented more than 70% in total GHG emission from waste sectors

- Modified FOD (Tier 2) method is under development in order to reflect the landfill conditions in Korea
  - Within 2~3 years, the emission of CH_4 from Korean landfills would be estimated by means of the Tier 2 method.
The First Experience GHG Inventory Preparation in Lao PDR

By: Syamphone SENGCHANDALA
Science Technology and Environment Agency, Prime Minister's Office

Outline presentation

- Background Information
- GHG Inventory
- GHG Mitigation Option
- Factors affected the achievement of results
- Next Step.
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Background Information

- The Science Technology and Environment Agency (STEA) is assigned by the Government as a National Authority for coordinating and implementing UNFCCC and Designated National Authority (DNA) for CDM
- Established National Greenhouse Gas Inventory Committee (NGIC) and Technical Working Group(TWG).
- Lao PDR has carried out two main projects:
  - National GHG Inventory Project supported by UNDP-GEF, since July 1997 and completed in 2000.
  - Climate Change Enabling Activity (additional financing for capacity building in priority area) supported by UNDP-GEF since January 2001 and will finalizing soon.

GHG Inventory

Based Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventory were studied in 4 Sectors (Based year 1990 data) as below:

1. Energy sector:
   - Fossil fuel consumption
   - Traditional biomass burned for energy

2. Agriculture sector:
   - Enteric fermentation
   - Manure management
   - Rice cultivation
3. Forestry sector:
   - Change in forest and woody biomass
   - Forest conversion: Aboveground CO₂ released from on-site burning
   - Forest conversion: Aboveground CO₂ released from off-site burning
   - Aboveground CO₂ release from decay

4. Waste:
   - Landfills

   Lao PDR is a net emitter

GHG Emission (%) from fossil fuel consumption in Energy sector:

- Gas/diesel oil: 52%
- Gasoline: 38%
- Jet: 5%
- Kerosene: 4%
- Coal: 1%
Lao Republic
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GHG Emission from traditional fuels in Energy sector:

**Emissions from traditional fuels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Emission (t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH4</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2O</td>
<td>16.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nox</td>
<td>157.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GHG Emission (%) from Agriculture Sector:

- Manure Management: 5%
- Enteric Fermentation: 36%
- Rice Cultivation: 59%
**Lao Republic**

Mr. Syamphone Sengchandala

---

**GHG Mitigation Option**

- **Energy sector:**
  - Energy conservation and improvement in energy efficiency through upgradation currently employed technologies.
  - Introduction of the advanced technologies that are more efficient or based on renewable energy source.
  - Structural change within the consumer sectors.
  - Promotion of the use of renewable energy such as small-scale hydropower development and electricity generation by wind, solar, thermal energy and biogas.
  - In the transportation sector, the options governed by objective of the reducing congestion and local air pollution. The major options are use of 4-stroke engine to replace 2-stroke and expansion of public transportation service.

---

**GHG Mitigation Option [cont.]**

- **Agriculture sector:**
  - The options possible in the agriculture sector are as below:
    1. Multiple Aeration Technique or MAT
    2. Strategic supplement to feed through MUB (multi-nutrient urea block)
    3. Biogas digesters to capture CH₄ for energy use.

- **Forest sector:**
  - Increase the total forest area in the country.
  - Reforestation of regarded forest land, afforestation programm and delineation of the national protected.
**GHG Mitigation Option [cont.]**

- **Waste:**
  - Promote public to reduce, reuse and recycle of solid waste.
  - Use three principal methods to dispose of solid waste as municipal landfills, open burning and dumping.
  - Encourage the treatment of waste water before releasing out.

**Factors affected the achievement of results:**

- Lack of Local Expertise
- Lack of realistic data
- Lack of country-specific or regional-specific emission factor
- Lack of activity data required to estimate GHG emissions
Next Step

- Preparation Second National Communication on Climate Change.
- Preparation National Action Plan of Action (NAPA) on Climate Change.
- Increase public awareness activities on climate change
- Implement a GHG mitigation plan

Thank you
Workshop of GHG Inventories in Asia Region

Mongolia’s GHG inventory
13-14 Phuket, Thailand

Batima P. Institute of Meteorology and Hydrology

UNFCCC and Kyoto protocol

The Government of Mongolia signed the UNFCCC on **June 12, 1992** at the Rio Conference and the Great Khural (Parliament) of Mongolia ratified it on **September 30, 1993**.

The Government of Mongolia ratified/accessed the Kyoto Protocol on **15 December 1999**.
Main gases

- The GHG inventory includes emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) and carbon monoxide (CO) for the base year 1994.
- Emissions of other greenhouse gases, such as NMVOCs and PFCs, have not been included in the inventory.

Sectors

- Energy,
- Industrial Processes,
- Agriculture,
- Land Use Change and Forestry, and
- Waste.
Data

• In most instances the main obstacle was the lack of reliable data for the calculations
• Only general activity data, such as
  – fuel consumption,
  – cement production,
  – domestic animal population,
  – area of cultivated land

Institutions

• National Agency for Meteorology, Hydrology and Environment Monitoring
• Institute of Meteorology and Hydrology
• Mongolian National University
• Energy Conservation CO.Ltd
• Ulaanbaatar City Governor's Office
Mongolia
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Methodologies

- IPCC Guidelines for National GHG Inventories (IPCC, 1995) and the Revised 1996 Guidelines (IPCC, 1997);
- IPCC default EF
- Some modification

Inventory

- Mongolia prepared its first greenhouse gases (GHG) inventory in 1996 for the base year 1990 under the US Country Studies Programme
- Updated within the Asia Least-Cost Greenhouse Gas Abatement Strategy (ALGAS),
- As part of the enabling activities of preparation of the Initial National Communication (GEF/UNEP), the GHG inventories were updated to 1998 with base year 1994.
### Modification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>Modified items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>Fuel Combustion</td>
<td>- classification of fuel type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- conversion factors for conversion from kilotonne to Terajoule for solid fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- oxidized carbon fraction for solid fuel burning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional Biomass</td>
<td>Fuel Combustion</td>
<td>- international bunker data (added)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- traditional biomass fuel accounting (added)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial processes</td>
<td>Lime production (added)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>- enteric fermentation emission factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use Change and Forestry</td>
<td>Changes in Forests and Other Woody Biomass Stocks</td>
<td>- area of forest/biomass stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- biomass expansion conversion ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- annual growth rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland Conversion</td>
<td></td>
<td>- emissions from lands used by mines (added)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>Landfills</td>
<td>- fraction of solid waste landfilled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- fraction of carbon in biogas which is released as methane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- “0.92” for the fraction of oxidized carbon for solid fuels
- “0.6 t dm/ha” for annual growth rate of logged forests and “0.2 t dm/ha” for planted forests
Mongolia’s Initial National Communication was reviewed by the National Communication Support Programme, the UNEP Collaborating Centre on Energy and Environment.

GHG emissions

- Fossil fuel combustion is the largest source of CO$_2$ emissions in Mongolia, accounting for about 60% of all emissions. The second largest source is from the conversion of grasslands for cultivation (20-27%). Emissions from industrial processes account for less than 1% of all emissions. Total emissions of CO$_2$ in Mongolia reached 9,064 Gg in the base year 1994, representing a decrease of 10,072 Gg from 1990 emission levels. CO$_2$ emissions have been increasing since 1996, reaching 8,729 Gg in 1998. The removals are increasing constantly. The removal in 1990 was 9.9% of total emissions; it increased to 39.4% and 44.7% in 1994 and 1998, respectively.
**Mongolia**  
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**GHG emissions**

![Bar chart showing GHG emissions from 1990 to 1998.](image)

**CO₂ and CH₄ emissions by sector for 1994**

![Pie chart showing emissions by sector for 1994.](image)
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Problems and Gaps

Availability of Information:
- No standard data for inventory and mitigation study except statistical data

Human resources:
- No incentives to keep trained national experts
- No permanent coordination that could provide the continuity of the study on climate change

Methodologies and tools
- Could not develop country specific emission factor

Financial constraints:
- GEF

Recommendations

- More training at international level
- Provide possibility to involve experts that have been participated in previous NC
- Establish regional or sub-regional center for GHG inventory and data base
- Establish information exchange network on climate change
- Improve mechanism to implement specific needs identified in the NCs
GHG Inventory in the Philippines

Damasa B. Magcale-Macandog
Institute of Biological Science
University of the Philippines Los Baños

Agriculture National Data

- Population of Domestic Livestock
- Percentage of manure treated in different animal waste management systems
- Harvested area of rice - irrigated and rainfed types
- Total area of grassland burned annually
- Bureau of Agricultural Statistics, Dept. of Agriculture
- Bureau of Animal Industry, Dept. of Agriculture
- Bureau of Agricultural Statistics, Dept. of Agriculture
- Reforestation Division of Forestry Management Bureau, Dept. of Environment and Natural Resources
## Agriculture National Data

- Aboveground biomass density
- Annual major crop production (corn, rice, etc.)
- Production statistics for nitrogen-fixing crops – dry pulses and soybeans
- Area of cultivated organic soils (ha of histosols)

Local studies:

- Bureau of Agricultural Statistics, Dept. of Agriculture
- Bureau of Agricultural Statistics, Dept. of Agriculture
- Bureau of Soil and Water Management

## Agriculture International Data

- Ratio of dairy cattle to cattle population
- Number of cropping seasons per year
- Methane emission factor integrated over cropping season in g/m²

International Data sources:

- FAO
- Developed by IRRI
- Developed by IRRI
Phillipines
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Agriculture Data Flow Chart

Energy
National Data

- Apparent fuel consumption
- Overall Energy Balance Sheet, Dept. of Energy
- Number of motor vehicles registered by type of vehicle and fuel used
- Land Transportation Office
Phillipines
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Energy (National Data): Fugitive Emissions

- **Coal production** – mining
  - Coal Division, Dept. of Energy

- **Gas**
  - Consumption data in PJ units
  - data available as electricity generated MWhr

Energy (National Data): Fugitive Emissions

- **Oil refining**
  - private oil companies

- Crude refinery and catalytic cracker throughput and type of storage
  - Oil and Gas Division, Dept. of Energy

- Crude oil production statistics

- Amount of oil transported
  - data collected from a private contractor
Energy International Data

- Default IPCC emission factors for most computations
Industry
National Data

- Food Balance Sheet
- Import data on halocarbons
- Other references:
  - released by the National Statistics Coordination Board
  - from the Philippine Ozone Desk of the DENR
  - Data released by industry associations
  - Philippine Statistical Yearbook, Philippine Yearbook, Annual Economic Indicators

Industry
International Data

- Default IPCC emissions factors for most computations
- Additional data on food and alcoholic beverages production
- Additional information for halocarbons
- Annual rated capacity for pulp
- Food and Agriculture Organization food balance sheet
- UNEP Ozone Action website
- FAO forestry database
Waste National Data

- Degradable organic component indicators
- Fraction of domestic/commercial organic compound removed as sludge
- Local values for fraction of wastewater or sludge treated by the handling systems
- Industrial Efficiency and Pollution Control/Environmental Management Strategy

- (kg BOD/1,000 persons/year)
- ($Fs,dom$
- ($W$ or $S$

prepared by UNDP-World Bank, 1992, for Metro Manila
**Phillipines**
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---

**Waste International Data**

- DOC values for different waste components
- COD/BOD ratio to compute industrial wastewater emissions

---

**Waste Data Flow Chart**

[Diagram of waste data flow chart showing the connections between various entities such as Metropolitan Manila Development Authority, Regional EMB Offices, National Solid Waste Management Commission, Maynilad Manila Water Company, National Statistics Office, Department of Science and Technology, and Local Government Units (LGU).]
Data Gaps

Data Gaps

Agriculture

- Ratio of residue to crop product
- Synthetic nitrogen fertilizer consumption data
Data Gaps
Energy

- Consumption data for biomass fuels (wood/woodwaste, charcoal and other biomass wastes) – Energy Balance sheet of the DOE only covered the Industrial subsector. The inventory also used the UNDP-ESMAP and HECS data of DOE. The residential data was available.

- Gas production data from the Oil and Gas Division of DOE.

---

Data Gaps
Energy

- Statistics on leakage of gas and venting and flaring data locations unknown

- Number of motor vehicles registered by type and kilometers traveled and fuel consumed per vehicle type
Data Gaps
Industry

- Itemized chemical production data (only aggregate data provided)
- Production data from smaller pulp producing firms
- Itemized production data of specified types of alcoholic beverages
- Production data of alcoholic beverages

Data Gaps
Industry

- Production data of ferroalloys according to base metals used
- NMVOC, CO, NOx, SO2 emissions from manufacturing processes not registered with SPIK (Chemical Industries Association of the Philippines)
- Actual emissions from the use of halocarbons and SF6 (currently, only potential emissions estimated)
- Activity data for products containing HFC-134a
Data Gaps
Waste

- Municipal solid waste per capita generation rate for most rural areas
- Philippine industrial wastewater COD loads
- Sludge treatment

Data Gaps
Waste

- Efficiency of solid waste collection outside Metro Manila
- Wastewater and wastewater handling systems (especially for areas outside Metro Manila)
- Amount of CH4 recovered or flared from industrial wastewater
**GHG Inventory Sectoral Issues and Concerns**

- Problems/Issues/Concerns
- Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No country specific data; specifically No local emission factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutionalization of the Overall Energy Balance (OEB) Sheet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study local fuel types: composition of fuels and develop local emission factors. OEB adapted in such a way that it will contain all the information necessary for the computation of GHG emissions in the energy sector.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Energy

- Data readily available from DOE but are highly variable due to continuous updates in fuel consumption and allocations.
- Link data in the OEB with the GHG emissions calculations to reflect instantaneously any changes resulting from the new set of values.

Energy

- Incomplete database on household consumption of biomass fuels such as wood/woodwaste, charcoal, agriwaste and other biomass/waste.
- Institutionalize/regulate surveys and studies on household fuel consumption – not only biomass fuels, but all other conventional and nonconventional fuel types.
Phillipines
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Energy

- Major data gaps in the transportation sub-sector prevent a more accurate GHG emission computation:
  - Type and technology of registered vehicles: VTEC, fuel injection, etc.
  - Number of kilometers traveled per year
  - Year and make of car.

- Institutionalize a complete and comprehensive registration process containing all the necessary and important information for each registered vehicle in every LTO registration branch.

Energy

- Institutionalization of data flow and information systems within DOE

- Develop structured linkages within the DOE specifically with the Demand Analysis and Planning Division (DAPD), main data center of the department, and the Environment Division which computes and projects national CO₂ emissions from the energy sector.
### Industry

- Availability of data, this being highly dependent on what industrial firms, estates, or associations choose to provide for regulatory purposes (especially to the DENR or EMB or any such regulatory body as well as any indirectly related purpose).

- More reliable data sources: DTI and PEZA—non-regulatory bodies which issue annual permits.

- UNDP may also be another repository data.

### Industry

- Reliability of data

  - Involve NGO’s like the Philippine Business for Environment (PBE) which are pro-active in the environment awareness circles.

  - Involve industrial associations, e.g., PISI, SPIK, PHILCEMCOR, PHINMA, etc. to increase cooperation within sectors.
Industry

- Reliability of data
  - Institutionalize inventory methodologies within DTI, NSCB, and EMB all of which already have most of the requisite data available.
  - Possibly create local emission factors for highly emissive sectors like cement, iron and steel.

Agriculture

- Deficiency of country-specific factors and data.
  - Default factors not representative of country’s actual situation.
- Generate local statistics by conducting researches and surveys to be conducted by the involved agencies such as BAS, BAI, IRRI, PhilRice, etc.
**Agriculture**

- Institutionalization of data flow and information system within BAS, DA
- Establish a statistical framework and a database information system for the inventory.

**Agriculture**

*Domestic Livestock*

- Current data on distribution of animal manure among animal wastes management systems are estimates only.
- Ascertain ACTUAL distribution of animal manure among the animal wastes management systems.
Agriculture
Prescribed Burning of Savanna

○ Lack country-specific statistics (biomass density of savanna, fraction of exposed biomass that is burned, etc.) necessary for the estimation of emissions.

○ Do research and study. Lead agency: DA/FMB

Agriculture
Burning of Agricultural Residues

○ Lack country-specific statistics

○ Conduct research/survey on cultural practices of local farmers in order to generate data regarding crop residues
Agriculture
Agricultural Soils Management

- No country-specific data and factors
- Do research and study. Lead agency: DA/FMB

Waste
Solid Waste

- Need for a more comprehensive dataset
- Data for other regions (besides the NCR) – data may be acquired from the LGU’s
- Provisions for categorizing waste disposal by economic class, region, etc. to see the impact of these specific categories.
Waste
Domestic/Commercial Wastewater Treatment

- Need to acquire sludge data.
- Need to acquire new/accurate data regarding wastewater treatment plants and volume of wastewater treated and processed; treatment efficiency, for all regions

- More comprehensive data on sludge. May be quantified by the local sewers Maynilad Waters, LWUA

Waste
Domestic/Commercial Wastewater Treatment

- Data on wastewater: no local BOD levels.
- Untreated wastewater

- Scientific and experimental determination of local BOD levels.
- Study effects of untreated wastewater – no methane generated in this case but the repercussions arising from non-treatment are not known.
Waste

*Industrial Wastewater*

No readily available data regarding industrial wastewater except for 1992 IEPC
- Data coverage is not entire nation
- Data are in BOD and not COD – thus need for proper conversion

1. Conduct regular survey/study on national wastewater treatment systems. (DENR/DTI)
   - Scientific study for COD levels in WW treatment systems.

**LAND USE CHANGE AND FORESTRY**

- Significant variability among existing data (e.g. Lasco, Franscisco, ESMAP, etc.)
- Conduct actual field studies
  - Validate default data
LAND USE CHANGE AND FORESTRY

- Lack of country specific data (data gaps) – IPCC default values used
- Conduct actual field studies

- Unreliable data on forest area and eventual fates of woody biomass after land conversion
- Determine carbon sequestration values

- Determine actual forest area and conversion by reliable party using precise methods – key government institution: FMB

LAND USE CHANGE AND FORESTRY

- Need to enhance capability and credibility of some government agencies involved in collecting relevant forest data.
- Coordination between relevant agencies such as FMB and NAMRIA regarding LUCF data collection

- Need to systematize scheme for data collection
- Review and update existing information management structures in DENR and other groups involved in LUCF issues
**LAND USE CHANGE AND FORESTRY**

- Limited resources available on carbon sequestration studies
- Determine carbon sequestration rates for Philippine woody biomass
- Formulate strategies to generate funds for carbon sequestration studies
- Begin reconstruction of soil carbon resources and data gathering on abandoned lands

---

**LUCF Data Flow Chart**

- Forestry Studies to UP Los Banos Forestry
- Forestry Management Bureau (FMB)
- Core Inventory Team
- Abandonment of managed lands
- Land use plan (LGUs)
- Changes in Soil Carbon
- Changes in forest/woody biomass stocks
- Bureau of Soil and Water Management
- Housing and Land Use Regulatory Board (HLURB)
- Types of Soil

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core Inventory Team</td>
<td>oversees data collection and analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Soil &amp; Water Mgmt</td>
<td>responsible for soil carbon management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing &amp; Land Use Regulatory Board (HLURB)</td>
<td>regulates land use plans and regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestry Management Bureau (FMB)</td>
<td>manages forest and woody biomass assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestry Inventories</td>
<td>collects and maintains inventory data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>international organization for forestry and agricultural sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil. Wood Products Producers</td>
<td>provides wood products data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Limited resources available on carbon sequestration studies-</td>
<td>determine carbon sequestration rates for Philippine woody biomass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Formulate strategies to generate funds for carbon sequestration studies-</td>
<td>begin reconstruction of soil carbon resources and data gathering on abandoned lands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A. Background

- Viet Nam is located in South East Asia
- The land area occupies 330,900 km²
- The sea water territory under sovereignty and jurisdiction is more than 1 million km²
- The coastline of 3,260 km covers the East and the South
- Viet Nam has a system of coast 3000 big and small islands with total area of more than 1600 km²

A. Background (Cont.)

- The forest areas are 9.3 million ha
- The agricultural lands are 7.37 million ha
- The population of Viet Nam in 1994 was 70.8 million with average annual growth rate of 1.6%
- Viet Nam is an agricultural country with 70-80% of the population living in rural areas
- GDP (1994): 1.53 billion USD
- GDP per capita (1994): 215 USD
- The average annual GDP growth rate was 8.2% during 1991-1995
A. Background (Cont.)

- Sectoral Contribution to GDP:
  - Industry: 29.6%
  - Services: 41.7%
  - Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing: 28.7%

B. 1994 National GHG Inventory

- 1994 National GHG Inventory was implemented by the Hydro-Meteorological Service (HMS), nowadays Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment of Viet Nam (MONRE)
- 1994 National GHG Inventory covers three major GHGs:
  - Carbon dioxide (CO₂)
  - Methane (CH₄)
  - Nitrous oxide (N₂O)
- 1994 National GHG Inventory includes GHG emission projection from main sources
B. 1994 National GHG Inventory (Cont.)

1994 National GHG Inventory was carried out for five main sources of emission:
- Energy
- Industrial Processes
- Forestry and land use change
- Agriculture
- Waste

The Methodology of Inventory follows the guidance of the IPCC revised version 1996.

The data source was collected and processed from the General Statistical Office and other related Agencies of Viet Nam.

B. 1994 National GHG Inventory (Cont.)

1. Energy:
GHGs emissions from energy sector including transportation are emissions from burning of fossil fuel (coal, oil, gases) for energy activities while fugitive emissions are from mining. GHGs emissions depend on characteristics and amount of fuel.
B. 1994 National GHG Inventory (Cont.)

- GHG emissions from fuel combustion:
  - In 1994, Viet Nam produced 6.2 million tons of coal, 7.1 million tons of oil. All crude oil is exported. Coal is partly exported, partly goes to meet domestic needs. Firewood remains an important fuel source in the Viet Nam energy structure. It occupies 56% total domestic fuel consumption.
  - GHG emissions by fuel combustion in 1994 were estimated at 21.580 million tons of CO$_2$; 120.509 thousand tons of CH$_4$ and 1.756 thousand tons of N$_2$O.
  - CO$_2$ is mainly emitted by coal and oil combustion, meanwhile CH$_4$ and N$_2$O from biomass burning.
  The total GHG emissions by fuel combustion are 24.655 million tons of CO$_2$ equivalent

- GHG fugitive emission:
In Viet Nam, GHG fugitive emission is mainly generated by coal, oil and gas exploitation and transportation.
  - CH$_4$ fugitive emission from coal exploitation in 1994 was 39.749 thousand tons.
  - CH$_4$ fugitive emission from oil and gas exploitation in 1994 was 7.015 thousand tons.
  The total CH$_4$ fugitive emission from coal, oil and gas exploitation in 1994 was 46.764 thousand tons.

- GHG emission from energy sector activities:
The total emission from energy sector activities (electricity generation, industry and construction, transport, services/commerce, household, agriculture, forestry and fishery…) was 25.637 million tons of CO$_2$ equivalent
2. Industrial processes:
GHGs emissions from various types of industrial processes are non-energy use related emissions. These emissions are related to physical and chemical transforms of materials, in which GHGs such as CO$_2$, CH$_4$, N$_2$O and other gases are released. The methodology for estimation of emission from various industrial processes is based on the amount of gases emitted from a product unit (emission coefficient) and amount of used material.

- Industrial processes and industrial products were manufactured or used in Vietnam in 1994 relating to the emissions of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, NO$_x$, NMVOC, CO and SO$_2$.
- The total CO$_2$ emission from industrial processes was 3.807 million tons, mainly from construction material manufacturing (cement production occupied 2.677 million tons; lime baking 651 thousand tons) and steel rolling 475 thousand tons.
- SO$_2$ emission was about 1.6 million tons, mainly from cement production.

3. Forestry and land use change:
- Estimation of CO$_2$ emission and sequestration in this sector was focused on the following activities:
  - Change in forest area and woody biomass stocks in natural and planning forests.
  - Forestry and grassland conversion, forest exploitation.
  - Forest natural renovation in abandoned farmland.
- Estimation of GHG emissions / uptake:
  - CO$_2$ sequestration by forest biomass growth: in 1994, Vietnam had 8.252 million ha of natural forest, 1.049 million ha of planning forest and 9.778 million ha classified as forestland without forest. The total planning trees in 1994 are 350 million. CO$_2$ being sequestered by forest is 39.272 million tons.
B. 1994 National GHG Inventory (Cont.)

+ CO₂ emission from forest and grassland conversion: in 1994, there were 338,000 ha of land use change, in which 40,600 ha under evergreen forest.

GHGs emissions from these activities were estimated as below:
- CO₂ : 56.72 million tons
- CH₄ : 0.18 million tons
- N₂O : 0.00124 million tons
- CO : 1.57 million tons
- NOₓ : 0.0447 million tons

B. 1994 National GHG Inventory (Cont.)

CO₂ sequestration by natural regeneration in abandoned farmland.

The natural regeneration of forest in abandoned farmland or degraded forest for the period of about 20 years is 820,000 ha. Estimated CO₂ absorbed amount is 11.05 million tons.

+ CO₂ emission in the Inventory year by soil from previous land use change and management.

Estimated CO₂ emission amount is 8.824 million tons.

The total GHGs emitted into the atmosphere by forest and land use change in 1994 are 19.38 million tons of CO₂ equivalent.
B. 1994 National GHG Inventory (Cont.)

4. Agriculture:
   + Livestock:
     CH$_4$ emission from livestock sector is 465,565 thousand tons, 336,585 thousand tons of which is from enteric fermentation and 128,980 thousand tons from manure management.
   + Rice cultivation:
     The total rice cultivated area in 1994 is 6.599 million ha, more than 60% of which under constantly flooded irrigation, the rest is not constantly irrigated and mostly relies on rainfall.
     The total CH$_4$ emission from wetland rice field is 1559.7 thousand tons among which, 873.8 thousand tons in the North and 685.9 thousand tons in the South of Vietnam.

B. 1994 National GHG Inventory (Cont.)

+ Prescribed burning of savanna:
  - The main emission source in this sub-sector is savanna prescribed burning due to slash and burn farming practices of the mountainous ethnic minorities.
  - The total emissions in this sub-sector are 15.91 thousand tons of CH$_4$, 417.5 thousand tons CO, 0.20 thousand tons N$_2$O and 7.11 thousand tons NO$_x$.

+ Field burning of agricultural residues.
  - The emissions in this sub-sector are as follows: 51.72 thousand tons CH$_4$, 1,086.07 thousand tons CO, 1.19 thousand tons N$_2$O and 43.17 thousand tons NO$_x$. 
B. 1994 National GHG Inventory (Cont.)

+ Agricultural soil:
The total emission in this sub-sector is 26.02 thousand tons N$_2$O, including:
- N$_2$O emitted directly from soil: 16.63 thousand tons
- N$_2$O emitted directly from animals: 0.004 thousand tons
- Indirectly N$_2$O emission: 9.39 thousand tons

The total GHG emissions from agricultural sector are 52.45 million tons of CO$_2$ equivalent.

B. 1994 National GHG Inventory (Cont.)

5. Waste sector:
+ Municipal solid waste.
Estimated CH$_4$ emission from waste is 66.298 thousand tons, mainly from big cities.
+ CH$_4$ emission from domestic and commercial waste water is 1.027 thousand tons
+ CH$_4$ emission from industrial waste water processing is 0.79 thousand tons
+ N$_2$O emission from human is 3.66 thousand tons.

The total GHGs emissions in waste sector are 68.115 thousand tons CH$_4$, 3.66 thousand tons N$_2$O equal to 2565.015 thousand tons of CO$_2$ equivalent.
In conclusion:
- The total GHG emissions in 1994 in Viet Nam were 103.839 million tons of CO₂ equivalent and 1.4 tons CO₂ equivalent per capita.
- GHG emissions from energy sector was 25.637 million tons of CO₂ equivalent, accounted for 24.7% of total national emissions; forestry and land use change: 19.380 million tons of CO₂ equivalent, accounted for 18.7%; agricultural sector: 52.450 million tons of CO₂ equivalent, accounted for 50.5%; industrial processes and waste sector: 3.807 and 2.565 million tons of CO₂ equivalent, accounted for 3.7% and 2.4% respectively (table 1 and figure 1)

### Table 1: Results of 1994 National GHG Inventory in Viet Nam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission sector</th>
<th>CO₂ equivalent (million ton)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>25.637</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Processes</td>
<td>3.807</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>52.450</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestry and Land Use Change</td>
<td>19.380</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>2.565</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total emissions</td>
<td>103.839</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1: Results of 1994 National GHG Inventory in Viet Nam

- Energy: 25.6 Mt - 24.7%
- Land use change and Forestry: 19.4 Mt - 18.7%
- Waste: 2.6 Mt - 2.4%
- Agriculture: 52.4 Mt - 50.5%
- Industrial processes: 3.8 Mt - 3.7%

In the future, the major emission sectors will be energy, agriculture, forestry and land use change. GHG emissions in the period of 2000-2020 will be increased mainly causing by the fossil fuel consumption to meet energy demand in the country. Thus, in the future, energy sector will be a main GHG emission source in Viet Nam. Emissions from the energy sector are projected in 2010 to 105 million tons of CO₂ equivalent and in 2020 to 197 million tons of CO₂ equivalent, it is about 8 time higher than 1994 emission level. In agriculture sector, GHG emissions in CO₂ equivalent will reach from 52.4 million tons in 1994 to 57.2 million tons in 2010 and 64.7 million tons in 2020.

C. GHG emission projection in Viet Nam
In forestry and land use change sector, the amount of CO₂ is projected to decline from 19.4 million tons in 1994 to 4.2 million tons in 2000 and the net sequestration of 21.7 million tons in 2010 and 28.4 million tons in 2020.

Generally, GHGs emissions from the three main sectors in Viet Nam were projected at more than 140 million tons and 233 million tons of CO₂ equivalent in 2010 and 2020 respectively (figure 2).
### D. Priorities of future research areas

- Developing and evaluating potential and feasible GHG mitigation options
- Researching on assessment of climate change impacts and developing measures to cope with and adapt to climate change based on scenario in the region.
- Developing a realistic portfolio of potential AIJ/CDM projects in Viet Nam
- Developing a strategy and action plan and appropriate institutional capacity to exploit opportunities presented by AIJ/CDM to achieve sustainable socio-economic development of the country and to contribute to global GHG emission reduction.

### Thank you very much for your attention
Greenhouse Gas Inventory Office of Japan
Center for Global Environmental Research, National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES)
16-2, Onogawa, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan 305-8506
Phone: 81-29-850-2169, Fax:81-29-858-2645 E-mail:egergio@nies.go.jp  http://www-gio.nies.go.jp

Printed on recycled paper